DEPUTY JUDGE ADVOCATE'S OFFICE 7708 WAR CRIMES GROUP EUROPEAN COMMAND APO 407

5 February 1948

UNITED STATES }
Came No. 000-Nordhausen-6
Stefan PALKO

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

I. TRIAL DATA: The abouted was tried at Dashau, Cornery, during the parind 3-12 December 1947, before a General Military Government Court.

II. CHARGES AND PARTICULARS:

CHARGE It Violation of the Laws and Usagos of War.

Particulars: In that Stefan PALKO, a German matical, did, at or in the vicinity of Nordhausen, Germany, in or about December 1943 wrongfully encourage, aid, abet and participate in the killing of an unknown non-German matical, an immate of Nordhausen Concentration Camp, who was then in the custody of the then German Reich.

CHARGE II: Violation of the Laws and Usages of War.

Particulars: In that Stefan PALKO, a German rational, did, at or in the vicinity of Nordhausen, Germany, in or about July 1944, wrongfully encourage, aid, abet and participate in the killing of an unknown non-German national, an inmate of Nordhausen Concentration Camp, who was then in the custody of the then German Reich.

CHARGE III: Violation of the Laws and Usages of War.

Particulars: In that Stefan Palko, a German national, did at or in the vicinity of Nordhausen, Germany, in or about March 1964, wrongfully encourage, aid, abet and participate in committing an assault upon two unknown French nationals, inmates of Nordhausen Concentration Camp, who were then in the custody of the then German Reich.

(NOTE: Pursuant to authority granted by the Doputy Judge Advesate for War Crimes, Charges II and III of the original charge sheet, dated 14 November 1947, and served 14 November 1947, were amended by the substitution of Charges II and III as appears on the charge sheet dated 19 November 1947, and served 20 November 1947 (R 2, 3; P-Exs 2, 2A).

III. SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE: The accused was un 63 corporal and block loader in Nordhausen Concentration Camp from November 1943 to 5 April 1945. Each of the three charges relates to separate incidents. These will be hereinafter referred to as Incidents Nos. 1, 2, and 5, respectively.

Regarding Incident No. 1, in the winter of 1943, the accused beat a French inmate of the camp with a cable until he collapsed and then kicked

him repeatedly. Following this beating and kicking, the inmate complained of difficulty in breathing. Subsequently he was selected to leave camp on a temperat of sick immates who were unable to work. He died on the day the transport left the camp. His name was entered on the death list used to record the death of immates of this particular transport. He was identified as Armand Dan Pierre.

Russian inmate of the camp, causing a fracture of the skull and ribs.

Within a short time after the beating the victim died from the injuries sustained. Permission was requested of the SS doctor to perform an autopsy on the body of the victim. However, the body was cremted before the autopsy could be performed.

Respecting Incident No. 3, in March 1944 the accused severely beat two
French inmates of the camp who were too weak to move a barrel which was used
as a latring. As a result of the beating, one of the victims suffered a
permanent injury to his eye, partially impairing the vision thereof.

The trial of the case was continued on 3 December 1947 as the defense sourced was also involved in another case. The Court reconvened on 11 December 1947, after an associate counsel had been appointed. (See certificate by the associate defense counsel, 8 March 1947, bound with the record of trial, certifying that he was ready to proceed with the trial.)

IV. EVIDENCE AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

Stefan PALKO

No. 5 i one 1 ity:

German

Agot

31

Civilian Status:

Barber

Berty Status:

Unknown

Military Status:

SS Corpora l; Block Leader

Plea:

NG, Charge I; NG, Charge II; NG, Charge III

Findings +

G. Charge I; G, Charge II; G, Charge III

Sent ence:

25 years, commencing 2 May 1945

Evidence for Prosocution: The accused was an SS corporal and performed

November 1943 to 5 April 1945 (R 13, 87; P-Ex 7A, p. 1).

Respecting Incident No. 1, Michel, a French national, Major in the Franch Army during the war and former immate of the camp, testified that in December 1943 he saw the accused beat French immate Armand Dan Pierre, with a no rubber covered electric wire /cable/. The accused beat him indiscriminately in the region of the shoet and ribe. The cable was about 50 centimeters long and 3 centimeters in diameter. At the time the victim was beaten, he was standing near a tunnel in the camp. The immates worked in the tunnel (R 28, 36, 37). As a result of the beating, the victim collapsed. The accused continued to kick him while he was lying on the ground. The victim was taken to the invalid block and excused from work (R 28, 29). He continually somplained about difficulty in broathing. He was required to attend roll oall, which he managed to do with the aid of two other inmates (R 29). About ten days later the victim was selected to leave on a transport of sick immites who were unable to work. The witness saw the victim at 0000 hours the day the transport left and at that time the victim said it was gradually becoming more difficult to breathe. The witness saw the victim each day during the interval between the besting and the departure of the transport. The French immate died on the day the transport left. His name was entered on the death list used to record the death of immtes on this particular transport. The witness had the list in the labor statistics office (R 20, 29, 34, 35, 42). Sespiva and Lauth, former inmates of the camp, the first a Czech doctor, the other a French national, testified that they heard from other innates of the camp that the accused had besten to death a French national, Dan Pierre (R 12-14, 43-45).

Regarding Incident No. 2, Sespive the Creck doctor, testified that in July 1994 a Russian immate was brought to the hospital where he was assigned, suffering from a serious head injury. An examination revealed that he was suffering from a fracture of the skull and ribs. There was an open wound on the back of the head about six to seven centimeters long. The viotim was unconscious. Upon inquiry concerning the cause of the injuries, Lumir Zapletal a first-aid man who helped bring the victim to the hospital told

That evening the victim died. The following day he reported the matter to Doctor Kahr, the SS camp doctor. He also requested permission to perform an autopsy on the body. Permission was granted a day later. In the meantime, because of the stench from dead bodies, the Russian's body had been are mated (R 14, 15). A fourth witness. Doctor Kahr, the SS doctor in the camp, corroborated the testimony of the foregoing witness respecting the report of the incident; the identity of the perpetrator as a member of the SS, the request for permission to perform the autopsy; and the premature cremation of the body (R 51, 52).

Concerning Incident No. 3, Sespiva testified that in March 1944, two French rationals, inmates of the camp, were brought to his ward suffering from outs and bruises. One of the victims was suffering from an injury to the cornea of his eye. The injury was permanent and partially impaired the vision. At the time he was told that the accused beat both inmates because of their imbility to move a barrel, which was used as a latrine, due to their weak physical condition (R 16, 17, 20). In his extrajudicial ewern statement, the accused stated that in the summer of 1944, he beat two Russians, inmates of the camp, with his bare hands because of thefts (R 87; P-Ex 7A, p. 3).

Reidence for Defense: The accused was advised of his rights by the Court, but declined to take the stand (R 85).

In connection with Incidents Nos. 1, 2, and 3, two witnesses, Bruesser, a member of the SS and elect of the guard comrany in the camp, and Finkenzeller, a former capo, testified that during the time they were connected with the camp, from august 1943 to June 1944, and November 1943 to 4 april 1945, respectively, they never heard that the accused beat any inmates to death (R 64-66, 71, 72). Finkenzeller admitted that he saw the accused beat inmates on several occasions with his hands. However such beatings did not result in injuries (R 73, 74). This witness had been sentenced to imprisonment for two years as a war criminal for beating inmates. He also admitted four previous convictions for theft, fraud, burglary, and attempted

murder between 1919 and 1932 (R 74, 75).

More particularly in connection with Incident No. 1, Fett, a former inmate of the camp and clerk in the troop dispensary, testified that he knew Michel, one of the foregoing witnesses, who testified concerning Incident No. 1. He further testified that Michel worked in the dispensary with him, and that he never heard him may that the accused beat the French inmate Dan Pierre to death. He also testified that he never heard that the accused had beaten any inmates of the camp (R 76-78, 82). Fett admitted two previous convictions for breach of trust and bankruptcy fraud between 1920 and 1934 (R 80).

Sufficiency of Evidence: No reason is seen to doubt the sincerity of any prosecuting witness. As to the offenses alleged in Charges II and III hearsay evidence maybe very persuasive under some circumstances. However, the hearsay evidence tending to involve the accused in these two offenses lacks satisfactory persuasiveness. In each instance, incofar as the evidence reveals, the witnesses informant did not adequately develop the details of the incidents and particularly what he personally saw. On this meager information the Court could not appropriately conclude that the guilt of the accused as to Charges II and III is proved beyond a reasonable doubt. As to Charge I, the appearance of the victime on a death list of those who had left on the transport is not very persuasive as to the alleged death. Moreover, the lapse of ten days between the beating and the supposed death, together with the possibility of violence on the transport, decreases the probability that the death of the inmate on the day in question was in fact the direct result of and resulted solely from the beating by the accused Also, the principal witness apparently did not conclude that the victim's in death was impending when he telked to him on the morning the transport left, the supposed date of the death. However, that the accused severely beat the immate and that serious injuries resulted therefrom is established beyond e reasonable doubt. The asseult with a coble, which is established by the evidence, is necessarily included in the offense alleged in Charge I. The sentence imposed is disperpertionate to this proved offense.

Potitions: A Petition for Review, 17 December 1947, was filed by Claudio Delitala, assistant defense counsel. A supplementary Petition for Review, undated, was filed by Lieutenant Eldon O. Haldeno, Oniof Defense Counsel. The supplementary petition urges that the findings and sentence be set aside for lack of adequate pretrial investigation; improvident substitution of defense counsel and legal insufficiency of the evidence. Lieutenant Eldon O. Haldane was appointed Chief Defense Counsel by Letter of Assignment dated 8 October 1947. The original charge sheet was served upon the accused on 14 November 1947, and trial set for 24 November 1947. The amended charge sheet was served upon the accused on 20 "ovember 1947 and the trial set for 30 November 1947. The trial actually commenced on 3 December 1947 with the Chief Defense Counsel actively representing the accused. The Court adjourned efter the forencen session on that day because of the Chief Defense Counsel's engagement in another trial. It did not reconvene until 11 December 1947. In the meantime Mr. Claudio Delitala, civilian attorney, was appointed assistant defense counsel. He consulted with the accused, the Chief Defense Counsel, and the witnesses for the defense and according to his appraisal was fully prepared to procood with the trial of the case. A certificate by him, Mr. Delitala, to this effect is attached to and bound with the record of trial. It is not apparent that the accused had insufficient time in which to premare his defense, nor is it apparent that the associate defense counsel failed to ably defend the accused.

Recommendation: That the findings of guilty as to Charges II and III be disapproved; that only so much of the findings as to Charge I be approved as involves findings of guilty of an assault by beating with the a cable and that/sentence be approved, but reduced to imprisonment for 15 years.

V. QUESTIONS OF LAW:

Jurisdiction: It is clear that the Court had jurisdiction of the person of the accused and of the subject matter.

Examination of the entire record fails to disclose any error or omission in the conduct of the trial which resulted in injustice to the accused.

VI. CONCLUSIONS:

- 1. That the findings of guilty as to Charges II and III be disapproved; that only so much of the findings as to Charge I be approved as involves findings of guilty of an essault by beating with a cable, and that sentence be approved, but reduced to imprisonment for 15 years.
- 2. Legal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attached hereto, should it must with approval.

RONALD DADAMIO

Post Trial Branch

Having examined the record of trial. I concur, this

day of

1948.

Lieutonant Colonol, JAGD Deputy Judgo Advocate for War Crimes