DEPUTY JUDGE ADVOCATE'S OFFICE 7708 WAR CRIMES GROUP EUROPEAN COMPAIND

31 March 1947

UNITED STATES

VS

Case No. 000-50-2-4

Thomas BRUECKER, Erwin BURGHARD, Michael DIETHAMER, Josef HELLER, Josef JEISEL, Stofan JUNG, Ernst MORLOCK

REVIEW AND RECOLUENDATIONS

1. TRIAL DARLS

Tried jointly at Dacheu, Germany Date: 23 - 24 October 1946 Intermediate Military Government Court

ACCUSED

DATA

" SE TENCE

BRUECKER, Thomas

Ago 27 Roumanian national . from 9 June 1945 Civilian occupation: Barbor SS guard out-comp Gondorf, October 1943 to 5 February 1945 Renk: Privato

· 18 months confin nent

BURGHARD, Erwin

ARC 50 German national - from 5 August 1945 Civilian occupation: Clork SS guard out-camp Utting, 15 August 1944 -to empitulation Renk: Private

15 months confinement

DIETLMAYER, Michael

Age 52 German national SS clork at Condorf- . Muhldorf out-earp September 1944 to capitulation Renk: Unknown

Married, 5 children 2 years confinement from 28 July 1945

ACCUSED	DATA	SENTENCE
ELLER, Josef	Married, 6 children Age 50 German national S3 tower and work- detail guard Allach out-camp, September 1944 to capitualtion Rank: Sergeant	2 years from 30
OLIHAICHER, Josef	Age 54 German national Civilian occupation: Farmer SS guard Camp Dachau and out-camp Gendorf Muhldorf, July 1944 to capitulation Rank: Pfc	2 years from 30
TEISEL, Josef	Married, 3 children Age 48 Mungarian national Civilian occupation: Farmer SS guard Camp Dachau, Allach and Muhldorf out-camp, February 1945 to capitulation Rank: Pfe	18 month from 30

18 months confinement from 30 April 1945

April 1945

confinement April 1945

Married, 2 children Age 34 Roumanian national civilian occupation: Farmor SS guerd out-camp Muhldorf, October 1943 to capitulation Rank: Unknown

18 months confinement from 50 April 1945

MORLOCK, Ernst

Age 60
German national
Civilian occupation:
Jeweler-Farmer
So guard Camp Dachau
Landsberg out-camp #7
and Muhldorf, June
1944 to capitulation
Rank: Unknown

JUNG, Stefan

18 months confinement from 30 April 1945

FIRST	CHARGE	: Vic	lation	of	the
Laws a	nd Usa	gos of	war.		

PARTICULARS: In that Thomas BRUECKER, Erwin BURGHARD. Michael DIETLMAYER. Josef HELLER, Josef HOLLMAICHER, Josef JEISEL, Stefan JUNG and Ernst MORLOCK, acting in pursuance of a common design to commit the acts hereinafter alleged, and as individuals aiding the operation of the Dachau Concentration Camp and Camp subsidiary thereto, did, at, or in the vacinity of DACHAU and LANDSEERG, Germany, between about 1 January 1942 and about 29 April 1945, wilfully, deliberately and wrongfully participate in the subjection of civilian nationals of nations then at war with the then German Reich to cruelties and mistreatment, the exact names and numbers of such civilian nationals being unknown but ag regating many thousands who were then and there in the custody of the then German Reich in exercise of belligerent control.

	Pleas	Findings
BRUECKER	NO	G
BURGHARD -	NG	G
DIETLMAYER	MG	G
HELLER	MG	G
HOLLNAICHER	NG	G
JEISEL	NG	G
JUNG	NG	G
MORLOCK	NG	G

SECOND CHARGE: Violation of the Laws and Usages of War.

PARTICULARS: In that Thomas BRUECKER. Erwin BURGHARD, Michael DIETIMAMER, Josef HELLER, Josef HOLLMAICHER, Josef JEISEL, Stefan JUNG and Ernst MORLOCK, acting in pursuance of a common design to commit the acts hereinafter alleged, and as individuals aiding in the operation of the Dachau Concentration Camp, did, at or in the vacinity of DACHAU, Germany, between about 1 January 1942 and about 29 April 1945, wilfully, deliberately and wrongfully participate in the subjection of members of the armed forces of nations then at war with the then German Reich, who were then and there surrendered and unarmed prisoners of war in the custody of the then German Reich, to cruelties and mistreatment. the exact names and numbers of such prisoners of war being unknown but aggregating many hundreds.

	Plons	Findings
BRUECKER	NG	G
BURGHARD	NG	G
DIETLMAYER	NG	G
HELLER	NG	G
HOLLMAIOHED	NO	a ·
JEISEL	NG	G
JUNG	NG	G
LORLOCK	NG	G

except as to accused BURGHARD, and that the finding and sentence as to accused BURGHARD be disapproved.

3. EVIDENCE:

For the Prosecution. Prosecution's case was based on extrajudicial sworn testimony as hereinafter shown. Exhibit P-1 is a
certified copy of the charges, particulars, findings and sentences
in the parent Dachau Concentration Camp Case (R 7; U.S. vs Weiss et
al, 000-50-2, March 1946). Exhibits P-2 to P-13, inclusive, are
extrajudicial sworn testimony of the accused.

Accused BRUECKER received his SS guard training at Oranienburg July to October 1943. He was then transferred to Gendorf, an out-camp of Camp Dachau, where he served until February 1945. There were 250 prisoners of various nationalities at Gendorf working in a chemical factory and on excavating details. He knew of beatings and mistreatment there (P-2, R 8).

Accused BURGHARD came to Camp Dachau as a guard in August 1944 and transferred to out-camp Utting on Ammersee. At Utting there were about 400 prisoners under 13 guards constructing a factory nearby. The prisoners worked 12 hours a day (P-3, R 9).

Accused DIETLMAYER was an SS clerk at Gendorf-Muhldorf, an out-camp, for 8 months. His duties included making up duty rosters of the guards (P-5, R 10). He was a member of the Nazi Party, 1933 to 1943 (P-6, R 10).

Accused HELLER served as a tower and work-detail guard, first at Allach out-camp, 2-1/2 months; Camp Dachau, on the Praezifix factory detail, 5 months. He knew one American Officer at Allach (P-7, P 5; R 12). He was a guard on the prisoner transport Muhldorf to Tutzing, 29 April 1945, on which there were 3600 inmates loaded into 60 to 70 box cars. Many died of exhaustion (P-7, pp 5 to 7, inclusive; R 12).

Accused HOLINAICHER was a plantation and clothing factory work-detail guard at Camp Dachau and work detail guard at out-camp

300 prisoners and the clothing detail of about 30 men. The Gendorf Chemical Works detail was made up of 3000 prisoners (P-8, P-9, R 15, 16).

Accused JEISEL was a SS work-detail guard, first at Dachauthen at out-camp Allach and Muhldorf. He was a guard on the prisoner transport of 4000 inmates to Tutzing 27 April 1945, where he was captured (P-10, R 17).

Accused JUNG was a work-detail guard at Gendorf-Muhldorf, an an out-camp, for 17 months. He was also a guard on the prisoner transport to Tutzing just prior to capitulation (P-12, R 18). There were more than 3000 prisoners in the transport, and in an air attack on this transport at a station, some were injured and some were killed (P-12, R 46).

Accused MORLOCK served as an SS tower guard at Camp Dachau for 3 months, Landsberg Camp #7 (Kaufering #7, 2000 immates, labor and recuperation camp for Jews, U.S. vs Weiss et al, 000-50-2, R 832, P. Ex. 118); 4 months at Muhldorf as messenger; and participated as a guard in the prisoner transport of 3000 prisoners from Muhldorf to Tutzing on 29 April 1945. Prisoners were crowded into box cers and had very little food. In an air attack on this transport on 29 April 1945 many prisoners, as well as guards, were killed (P-13, R 19).

For the Defense. Each of the accused took the stand in his own defense.

Accused DRUECKER's duties were on the outside of the camp only, and he never beat any prisoners timself (P-2, R 8, 22).

Accused BURGHARD had no duties inside the comp at Utting (R 27).

Accused DIETLMAYER's duties at out-camp Gendorf-Muhldorf were clerical and he claimed the rations at Gendorf were good (P-5, R 10)

Acoused HELLER knew of mistreatments at out-camp Allach but never saw any himself, nor did he participate in any mistreatment of inmates wither at Allach or Camp Dachau (P-7, p 13; R 12)

camp and knew nothing of what went on inside Camp Dachau. Prisoners at out-camp Gendorf-Muhldorf looked well (R 42). He never shot or heat a prisoner himself (P-8, R 15).

Accused JEISEL was drafted into the SS and held the rank of Pfc (R 43). He made an effort to get more food for prisoners on the prisoner transport but was unsuccessful (P-10, R 17).

Accused JUNG's guard duties were outside the camp. He was also quartered outside the camp. He did not see prisoners shot or mistreated on the prisoner transport (R 45, 48).

Accused MORLOCK never served on an outside guard detail. For one month at out-camp Landsberg #7 he guarded seven Jewish women cooks in the guard kitchen. He had messenger duty only at out-camp Muhldorf, for 4 months (P-13, R 19). He saw no guards mistreat prisoners on the prisoner transport to Tutzing (R 52).

4. JUNISDICTION: The court was legally constituted and had jurisdiction of the persons of the accused and of the offenses.

5. COMMENTS: Examination of the entire record fails to disclose any error or emmission which resulted in injustice to the accused.

The court was required to take cognizance of the decision rendered in the parent Dachau Concentration Camp Case, including the findings of the Court therein that the mass atrocity operation was criminal in nature and that the participants therein, acting in pursuance of a common design, subjected persons to killings, beatings, tortures, etc., and was warranted in inferring that those shown to have participated knew of the criminal nature thereof (Letter, Headquarters, United States Forces, European Theater, file AG 000.5 JAG ACO, subject: "Trial of War Crimes Cases," 14 October 1946, letters superseded thereby, and U. S. vs Weiss et al, 000-50-2, March 1946).

With the exception of the accused BURCHARD, all accused were shown to have participated in the mass atrocity and the court was warranted, by the evidence adduced, either in the parent Dachar Case or in this subsequent preceeding, in concluding as to them

the nature and extent of their participation was such as to warrant the sentences imposed. It was shown that accused BULGHALD participated and the nature of his duties was also established. However, a search of the record in the parent case and the record in this subsequent proceeding reveals no evidence as to atrocities committed at the Utting out-camp. While it was shown that there were approximately 400 prisoners working under 13 guards constructing a factory nearby, if they were not otherwise mistreated, tortured or starved, it is believed that BULGHARD'S participation in this mass atrocity was too remote to form a proper basis for sentencing by the Court. By virtue of the absence of evidence as to the operation of out-camp Utting and what occurred there, accused BUNGHALD is not shown to have participated to a substantial degree and there is inadequate evidence as to the nature and the extent of his participation to permit of arriving at a proper sentence. It could be contended with considerable merit that the Court, with propriety, could have inferred that the inmates of out-camp Utting were subjected to cruelties and torture substantially like those proven to have been inflicted at Camp Dachau and other cut-camps. However, if that view were adopted, at least theoretically, injustices could result and those too remotely connected with this or other mass atrocities might be severely punished without a proper gauge to measure the magnitude of their crime. 6. CLEMENCY: There are no Petitions for Review. Consideration was given to a Petition for Clemency on behalf of accused Michael DIETL MAYEL, undated, signed by Midi DIETLMAYEL, his wife. No clemency is recommended.

7. CONCLUSIONS:

- a. It is recommended that the sentences, except the one as to accused BURGHARD, be approved.
- b. It is recommended that the sentence as to accused BUNG-

c. Legal Forms No. 13 and No. 16 to accomplish this result are attached hereto, should it meet with approval.

s/ Louis T. Tischer t/ LOUIE T. TISCHER Attorney Post Trial Section

Having examined the record of trial, I concur.

C. E. STRAIGHT
Colonel, J.GD
Deputy Judge Advocate
for War Crimes