13 June 1947

UNITED STATES

Vs.

Case No. 000-50-2-25

Anton WEBER and Josef WOLF

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

TRIAL DATA:

Tried Jointly at Dachau, Germany Date: 6-11 December 1946 General Military Government Court

ACCUSED

DATA SENTENCE

WEBER, Anton

Age 56 3S supply administrator Rank: 1st Sgt.

Age 56 18 years confine-German national ment from 26 May 1945

WOLF, Josef

Age 44 German national SS Supervisor of shoe department Rank: M/Sat.

20 years confinement from 4 May 1945

FIRST CHARGE: Violation of the Laws and Usages of War.

Pleas Findings NG NG

PARTICULARS: In that Anton Weber and WEBER sef Wolf, acting in pursuance of a WOLF ommon design to commit the acts hereinfter alleged, and as individuals aiding in the operation of the Dachau Concentraion Camp and camps subsidiary thereto, did, t or in the vicinity of DACHAU and LANDSBERG, bout 29 April 1945, wilfully, deliberately, and wrongfully encourage, aid, abet and participate in the subjection of civilian nationals of nations then at war with the then German Reich to cruelties and mistreatment, including killings, beatings, tortures, starvation, abuses and indignities, the exact names and numbers of such civilian nationals being unknown but aggregating many thousands who were then and there in the custody of the German Reich in exercise of belligerent control.

and Usages of War.	Pleas	Findings
PARTICULARS: In that anton Weber and WEBER Josef Wolf acting in pursuance of a WOLF common design to commit the acts here- inafter alleged, and as individuals aiding in the operation of the Dachau	NG NG	G G
Concentration Camp and camps subsidiary thereto, did at or in the vicinity of DACHAU and LANDSBERG, Germany, between about 1 January 1942 and about 29 April 1945, willfully, deliberately and wrong-	bup 6	east Last
fully encourage, aid, abet and participate in the subjection of members of the armed forces of nations then at war with the then German Reich, who were then and there surrendered and unarmed prisoners of war		
c cruelties and mistreatment, including illings, beatings, tortures, starvation,		Section 1
and numbers of such prisoners of war being unknown, but aggregating many hundreds.		

2. RECOMMENDATIONS: That the findings and the sentences be approved

3. EVIDENCE:

For the Prosecution: The prosecution's case was based on the swor testimony of nineteen witnesses; and extrajudicial sworn testimony as hereinafter indicated. Exhibit P-1 is a certified copy of the charge: particulars, findings and sentences in the Farent Dachau Concentration Camp Case (U.S. vs Weiss, et al., 000-50-2, March 1946, hereinafter referred to as the "Parent Case"; R 54). Exhibits P-2 and F-3 are extrajudicial sworn statements of the accused WEBER (R.153, 155).

For the Defense: The defense's case was based on the sworn test. ony of four witnesses; one statement as to the conduct of accused TBER (D-Ex 1, R 180) and the extrajudicial sworn testimony of the accused WEBER introduced by the prosecution (F-Ex 2, 3).

Accused WEBIR joined the Nazi party in 1927 and became a member o he 33 in 1931 (P-Ex 3, R 155). He served in Camp Dachau from 1936 on and became chief administrator of the clothing warehouse in 1941. He became a first sargeant in 1944. Fifty prisoners worked under his supervision in the warehouse, from 180 to 800 in the tailor shop, the same number in the shoe shop and 160 to 180 in the sock repair shop.

Washed and redistributed (P-Ex 2, R 153). During 1942 and 1943 clothing arrived from the Ausohwitz Concentration Camp four or five times. sometimes two or three car loads per day. There was women's and child ren's clothing, and accused said he thought that it was out of order. The clothing was searched and money, gold and valuables found were turned in. Inmates who did not turn over valuables were punished by 10 to 25 blows or sent to the bunker. During 1942 four or five prison transports left Camp Dachau and their clothing was returned after four to eight weeks. In 1943 he learned that the people who were sent away ad been gassed. They included prisoners of almost all nationalities who were not able to work. The accused knew about a roll call in 1942 at which Commander Weiss and a doctor selected prisoners for invalid transports (P-Ex 3, R 155). An individual clothing card was kept for each prisoner and when a prisoner died a cross was put on his card, ar it was filed separately (P-Ex 2, R 153). During one month in 1943 ove 1100 cards were placed in a separate file (R 51). Prolonged parts wer sewed on mittens for use at the crematory. They were used for handling dead bodies (P-Ex 2, R 153). Prosecution witness Drier, a former inms of Camp Dachau, testified that on one occasion accused WEBER slapped two Russians who stole a shirt. He took them out and when they came back they had to show their buttocks. They looked terribly beaten and were all colors of the rainbow (R 9). The prisoners were crying (R 12 and WEBER said, "This is an example for the next one who does someth : like this" (R 25). There were Poles, French, Italians, Ukrainians and aussians employed in the tailor snop (A 9, 10). The witness had to nandle clothing from prisoners which contained lice and was dirty. Lic ran across his sewing machine, but WEBER did nothing about complaints the danger from typhus. There were four cases of typhus at the time (liberation, and one man died from it (R 14). The clothing came from Lublin and Auschwitz and letters were found in the pockets from Polance or France. There were 60 people working on the night snift from 6:30 to 6:00 AM, and it was said that this shift would have been unnecessar

II the accused TEHER had not had some of the prisoners working private for him (R 15). At one time 10 to 15 railroad cars came with shoes, inderwear and striped clothes from Lublin. These got wet and were lef alled for a month without airing. When the Americans came, there was reserve of 5 cars of clothing and one of snoes. There were 10 to 15 mousand coats. Frisoners were clothed in wooden snoes, socks, jacket ants and shorts (R 17). Groups of 3000 to 4000 prisoners from France ad to stand on the parade ground nude waiting for clothes (R 18). The marched to the supply room 20 to 30 at a time and clothing in wrong zes was thrown to them. The same procedure was followed in the wint id prisoners stood from five minutes to one hour (R 19). Prisoners w rapped themselves in paper bags to keep warm were beaten and slapped the accused WEBER for doing it (R 22, 58, 59, 86). Accused WEBER order the prisoners to undress at the supply room (R 25). Witness Hoffer, a former inmate of Camp Dachau and tailor Capo under accused WEBER, test fied that WEBER often struck people in his presence, and that executio. occurred behind the supply room in 1942. Prisoners had to go to the cellar of the supply room or to their barracks during executions and could hear shots from both places (R 36). When the executions of the Russians occurred in the spring of 1942 the supply room received "lots of clothes" (R 38). Special gloves ordered by the supply room were ssued for the handling of dead people (R 40). When the Russians were xecuted in 1942 buckets of water and long gloves were issued. Towels ame back bloody. That occurred ten times between 1942 and 1945. The apression "rifle shooting festival" was customary among the 33 and isoners (R 41). Accused WEBER issued gloves to the "rifle shooting estivals". The supply room was always well stocked (R 42). In many civilian suits turned over by new arrivals there was gold, money, water papers and documents (R 43). On one occasion the accused WEBER beat a threw out a young Russian for having a watch. He always beat prisoner who were caught taking clothing. All nationalities were beaten (R 44) As a result of reports by the accused, prisoners were punished by being

langed " one hour on the tree and removal of extra rations" (R 46). The tree punishment was by hanging by the arms tied behind the back (R 47). Accused gave instructions regarding clotning for transports. le was moody and excitable and struck prisoners with keys if they came it odd hours. Once he used a stick (R 51, 52, 56). Clothing for the prisoners was in poor condition (R 52). During 1942, 1943 and 1944 to whole supply room was louse infested. Clothing contained lice during the typhus epidemic (R 53). After 1942 sweaters were issued, but the prisoners wrapped in paper because the sweaters were thin (R 58). The witness heard that accused WEBER mistreated prisoners for wearing pape acks (R 59). There were prisoners of war and civilian prisoners (R 6 Witness Jendrian, a former inmate of Camp Dachau, testified that he sa prisoners with dirty clothing pushed down and sent home by the accused WEBER. He threatened to report a prisoner for sabotage for naving a nole in his jacket, then pushed him off the steps. Most prisoners became too intimidated to make exchanges (R 71). WEBER reported his detail for having unauthorized wooden snoes, and each member received 25 lashes (R 72, 76). He denied special clothing to those who had to nandle contaminated corpses (R 73). When prisoners tried to take shir accused WEBER beat them (R 74). On one occasion in 1945 he beat and kicked a Russian until he bled. Generally clothing issued was lice . infested (R 75). A Russian prisoner to whom WEBER refused protective gloves died from typhus (R 84). Witness Welenta testified that he saw accused WEBER beat prisoners with keys on 2 occasions. He himself was truck on the head with them (R 89). Prisoners beaten by WEBER includ cussians, Poles, Yugoslavs, French, Luxembourgers and others (R 104). There was no disorder that caused him to beat the prisoners (A 113). One witness testified that he saw accused WEBER beating prisoners exchanging clothing on at least 30 occasions (R 118). He reported people for wearing unauthorized clothing (R 134). A witness testified that t sock repair detail under WEBER was allowed no rest periods, and latrin privileges were restricted (R 136). WIRER heat those who left without

ness Klein, a former inmate, testified ne was thrown out frequently by accused WEBER for trying to get shoes and gloves for his detail (R 143 A witness testified that the accused took part in executions (R 149).

In defense, WEBER stated that he was told that the children who left Camp Dachau were being sent to a Youth Home (P-Ex 2, R 153). At the times in 1942 and 1943 that clothing came in from Auschwitz Concen tration Camp he did not know that people were killed there but thought the clothing had been replaced by regularly issued clothing. These lothes were disinfected and stored in the attic of the supply bullaln he poorer clothing was issued, and the better was kept for those who were released. It was checked under a physician's supervision before sorting. He did not take anyone's rest time away but usually relieved prisoners for misconduct in the supply room. He had nothing to do wit the selection for transports and tried to keep his prisoners from goin after he learned they did not go to rest homes. He did not know what nead gear non-commissioned officers wore at executions (F-Ex 3, R 155) Prosecution witness Drier testified that punishment given on WEBER's reports was for violations of Camp rules (R 26). Work at the tailor shop was comparatively easy and most prisoners wanted to work there (R 31). Prosecution witness Hoffer stated ne did not believe that WEB ever put anyone on a transport. He was not personally mistreated by THER and stated that he was a "nice guy" and could be influenced (R 5. he accused had nothing to do with the issue of rations (R 56). Most inishments he saw were for violations of rules (R 60). Prosecution itness Titze, a former inmate, testified that others usually handled clothing distribution as WEBER was not always there (R 87). After 194 the room eldest or block eldest received the clothing for the prisoner; (R 91). Prosecution witness Heinrich Weber testified that there was disorder at the issuance of clothing as everyone tried to get a "heap" of clothing (R 104). A defense witness testified that his son in the German Army wrote to him that accused WEBER was a "good fellow" (R 159

To himself was a prisoner at Camp Dachau (R 156). He never heard prisoners say that the accused mistreated them, but they said that he was all right or that he was too lazy and too fat to beat anybody (R I be never heard or saw that the accused struck prisoners (R 161). Defen it these Kronfeldner testified that during his five years in the discensary at Camp Dachau, he never heard of any beating or mistreatment it the supply room (R 168). Two priests who were special prisoners at lamp Dachau testified that they heard no complaints from the prisoners at the supply room (R 170, 176). The special prisoners received good reatment from WEBER (R 171, 177). Among the special group the accuse a considered one of the good SS men (R 174). Pastor D. Martin Niecoller in a statement dated 1 July 1946 said that he had known the coused WEBER from the summer of 1943. WEBER treated him with decided findness and treated the prisoners working in the supply room with kindess as far as he could get an impression (Exhibit D-1, R 180).

Accused WOLF worked under accused WEBER. Accused WEBER stated to on two or three occasions in 1942 WOLF left and did not return to work to talked about executions and said that they had shot "so and so" many and that he nimself had shot at Russian commissers among those executed (F-Ex 2, R 153). Prosecution witness Hoffer testified that WOLF remarked after the execution of the Russians in 1942 that "These ren't human beings, these are beasts." (R 36). WOLF made punichment oports (R 47). He was a Master Sergeant in charge of the shoe shop and as also in charge of transports that picked up clothing from Ausenwit is issued shoes for outgoing transports and took shoes away from inwing transports. The prisoners arrived with good snoes and were give orse ones (R 49). The witness stated that WOLF was a hypocrite (R 55 and was ruthless in making reports. Once he ordered a prisoner's extra ations taken away for 14 days for making a cap for himself (R 56). Witness Kramer testified that he himself and others were beaten by WOLL (R 96). He saw the accused WOLF go with the execution detail after pi ing up his steel helmet. It was always two or three hours later when !

returned. Two or three times per week a truck came to the linen room loaded with bags of hot water (R 94). Upon their return the towels an prons were bloody (R 95). During 1941, 1942 and 1943 WOLF left fremently with his steel helmet. He got into the execution car at the ate house. It was common knowledge that it was an execution detail when a chr picked up water and later 33 men came with steel neim to (R 97). The car came back later with the water bags and torn and bloody uniforms (R 99). Witness Heinrich Weber stated that he heard that WOLF did beatings (R 103). Prosecution witness klein testified that on one occasion accused WOLF slapped and threw his men down on th ioncrete when he took them to get new shoes. There were 7 to 10 Frenc men, Poles, Czechs and Russians (R 140, 142). Witness Foland, a forme 35 Master Sergeant and now a prisoner at Camp Dachau, testified that accused WOLF took part in executions (R 149). He was told that WOLF as there to take the ones to be executed from one place to another (R 150, 151).

In defense, there were no statements by the accused WOLF and no witnesses were called on his behalf. Prosecution witness Hoffer testified that there were no good shoes in Camp Dachau to issue (R 49). He stated that WOLF did no beatings and had nothing to do with issue of rations (R 56). Prosecution witness Poland testified that when MOLF attended executions he was a Master Sergeant or Technical Sergeant, and anks like that did not participate in executions (R 150).

- I. JURISDICTION: The Court was legally constituted and had jurisdict. If the persons of the accused and of the offenses.
- reor or omission which resulted in injustice to the accused.

Both the accused were members of the 33 at Camp Dachau between the lates alleged (P-Ex 2, 3).

Lagal Sufficiency of Evidence: The evidence is sufficient to support the findings and sentences of the Court. The Court was required take cognizance of the decision rendered in the Perent Case, including

nce of a common design, subjected persons to killings, beatings, torures, etc., and was warranted in inferring that those snown to have
articipated knew of the criminal nature thereof (Letter, Headquarters,
nited States Forces, European Theater, file AG 000.5 JAG-AGO, subject
Trial of War Crimes Cases", 14 October 1946, and the Farent Case.)
oth of the accused were snown to have participated in the mass atrocind the Court was warranted by the evidence adduced, either in the
arent Case or in this subsequent proceeding, in concluding as to them
hat they not only participated to a substantial degree but the nature
d extent of their participation was such as to warrant the sentences
aposed.

. CLEMENCY: Petitions for Review were filed on 20 December 1946 on thalf of accused Josef WOLF and Anton WEBER by Lt. Col. Gladstone L. onloss their regularly appointed defense counsel.

Consideration has been given to a Petition for Clemency dated 5 bruary 1947 on behalf of the accused Josef WOLF signed by his father agnus Wolf, his mother Kreszinz Wolf and his brother Johann Wolf and to attached statement by Mathilde Bloschinger dated January 1947. No clemency is recommended.

. CONCLUSIONS:

- a. It is recommended that the findings and the sentences be appro-
- b. Legal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attach reto, should it meet with approval.

/s/ William C. Craft
WILLIAM C. CRAFT
Attorney
Fost Trial Branch

Having examined the record of trial, I concur.

/s/ O. E. Straight
C. E. STRAIGHT (26 Sept 47)
Colonel, JAGD
Deputy Judge Advocate
for War Crimes