DEPUTY JUDGE ADVOCATE'S OFFICE 7708 WAR CRIMES GROUP EUROPEAN COMMAND AFO LO7

5 April 1948

V. Case No. 000-50-5-12
Hans CHOWAMAZZI, et al.

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

I. TRIAL DATA: The accused were tried at Dachau, Germany, during the period 25 July 1947 - 11 August 1947, before a General Military Government Court.

II. CHARGE AND MATICULARS:

CHARGE: Violation of the Laws and Usages of War.

Particulars: In that Hans GIOWAM ZZI. Richard MUSZKOWSKI, Alois MANNANS, Theo SCHMITZ, Georg SESENCH, Robert SCHAEFER, Otto WICHMANN, Heinrich WAGNER, Leopold WINTER, Ferdinand WEISHAR, Florian STUETZ, and Robert WEFFES, German nationals or persons acting with German mationals, acting in pursuance of a common design to subject the persons hereinafter described to killings, beatings, tortures, starvation, abuses, and indignities, did, at or in the vicinity of the Mauthausen Concentration Camp, at Castle Hartheim, and at or in the vicinity of the Mauthausen Sub-camps, including but not limited to Ebensee, Gros-Raming, Gunskirchen, Gusen, Minterbruchl, Lambach, Linz, Loiblpass, Melk, Schwechet, St. Goorgen, St. Lambrecht, St. Valentin, Steyr, Vienna, Wiener-Neudorf, all'in Austria, at various and sundry times between Jamuary 1, 1912, and Way 5, 1945, wrongfully encourage, aid, abet. and participate in the subjection of Poles, Frenchmen, Greeks, Jugoslavs, Citizens of the Soviet Union, Norwegians, Dames, Belgians, Citizens of the Metherlands, Citizens of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg, Turks, British Subjects, stateless persons, Czechs, Chinese, Citizens of the United States of America, and other non-German antionals who were then and there in the custody of the then German Reich, and members of the armed forces of rations then at war with the then German Reich who were then and there surrendered and unafmed prisoners of war in the custody of the then German Reich, to idllings, beatings, tortures, starvation, abuses and indignities, the exact names and numbers of such paraons being unknown, but aggregating thousands.

III. SUMMEN OF EVIDENCE: All of the convicted accused were members of the Walfen SS, stationed at Mauthausen Concentration Camp or its subcamps for considerable periods of time between the dates alleged and were shown to have participated in the Mauthausen Concentration Camp mass atrocity.

Prosecution's P-Ex 2 (R lh) is a certified copy of the charge, particulars.

findings and sentences in the parent Mauthausen Concentration Camp Case (United States v. Altrudisch, et al., 000-50-5, opinion DJAWC, February 1917, hereimfter referred to as the "Farent Case"; see Section V. post).

Unless otherwise indicated, an item referred to as a "Statement" is in the form of an extrajudicial sworn statement.

Not much weight is given herein to the testimony of witness Karl Geiger.

Corman

IV. EVIDENCE AND RECONSTRUCTIONS:

1. Hans GIOVANAZZI

Nationality:

Ages

Civilian Status: Unknown

Party Status: Unknown

Military Status: Waffen SS Sergeant

Plon: NO

Findings: G

Sentence: Death by hanging

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused testified that he joined the Waffen SS in 19h0 (R h78); that he was a member of the medical corps in the camp hospital at subcamp Gusen from the summer of 19h3 until January 19hh (R h78); that in January 19hh he went to a medical corps school in Berlin, returning to the camp hospital at Gusen in March 19hh; that he left Gusen for Mauthausen at the end of September 19hh and served at Mauthausen until about Christmas 19hh; that he was in Berlin in January and February 19h5 (R h76); that he returned to Gusen for about a month beginning in March 1945 (R h76); that his duties included supervision of the roll call and inspection of the hospital for cleanliness (R h77); and that he knew that some inmates were killed at Gusen by being given injections (R h78, h79).

The accused stated in his Statement that he was a German national, formerly Italian; that he was a guard at subcamp Gusen from 9 August 1940 to 29 November 1940; that he was a member of the medical corps at Gusen

served as a member of the medical corps in Mauthausen from 1 September 19th to 2h December 19th (R 345; P-Ex 11A). Bousel, Kowalski, Lubos and Kushelev testified that the accused was chief of the dispensary in Gusen, a subcamp of Mauthausen Concentration Camp, from the spring of 19th to the early part of 19th (R 1h, 15, 157, 177, 266).

Wherzchowski, a former lawyer and judge, testified that following the summer of 19kl he worked as an assistant to Dr. Kaminski in the pathological department of the Gusen hospital; that in the course of his duties he performed autopoies on bodies brought in from the dispensary; that in his opinion the causes of death in some instances were injections with texic fluids such as benzine and hydrogen peroxide; and that from 10 - 25 injections were given each week (R 69-71, 85). The bodies of immates killed by injections were brought from the dispensary to the pathological department about once or twice a week (R 71). The accused, as chief of the dispensary, was the deputy of the camp doctor and could make decisions regarding the admission or release of immates from the dispensary, in the absence of the doctor (R 73).

Kusheley, a Russian doctor and formerly an immate of Gusen, testified that he worked in the dispensary from about May 19h3 until the liberation; that immates were killed by being given injections in block 31, room B in the dispensary of subcamp Gusen; that from the window of block 30 he saw the accused going into block 31 with a package; that he saw seriously ill patients being carried into a room in block 31; that he saw the accused enter this room; that he heard screams coming from this room; that he saw bodies thrown into the street from a window; that he saw these bodies taken into the pathological department; and that he was told by Doctor Kaminski and Wierzchowski that the bodies showed evidence of injections (R 265-267, 276, 278). This witness testified further that these incidents occurred about once a week from the beginning of 19hh until 19h5; that the victims were both civilian and prisoner of wer invetes (R 279); that among the victims were Tablians, Franchesen, Poles, Russians, Greeks, Belgians, Arabians,

Binet stated in an unsworn protrial statement that he heard that the accused was in charge of giving deadly injections (R 336; P-x 31). Le Gallo stated in his Statement that he was told that the accused gave fatal injections to immates in Gusen and that he saw him slap immates there (R 338; P-x 141). Boussel, Loureau and Lubos testified that the accused was responsible for determining to which block infirm immates were assigned (R 16, h5-h7, 235). These three witnesses also testified that he assigned a large number to block 31, which action was in effect a death sentence (R 16, h7, h8, 190, 236, 237, 238). Miloszewski stated that the accused. who replaced SCHMITZ in the dispensary, did not like to give injections and was quite reluctant to let Kaeferboeck give them on orders of Dr. Vetter, the camp dector, and that the accused frequently assisted in the selection of immates for the invalid transports which were formed for the purpose of sending the immates to other camps for extermination (R 3h2; P-5x 7, p. 6, second section).

Kowmlake testified that on an occasion in 1963 the accused came to his block; that he saw the accused select one Spanish and one French immate who were skilled at breaking stones; that these immates never came back to camp alive; that the next day he saw their bodies in the crematory; that he saw that the bodies had been mutilated by the removal of sections of skin which were tattooed; and that he later saw these sections of skin in the accused's office (2 156, 157).

Twidence for Defense: The accused testified that he never gave injections (R h77), although he admitted that such injections were given during his time at the dispensary (R h79). He denied that he ever selected anyons for these injections or chose immates for invalid transports (R h77). He denied that he ever asked for any tattooed skin. or that he ever had any in his possession (R h77, h78). He stated that his only duties were the cleanliness of the dispensary and the taking of roll call (R h77). He asserted that he came to the dispensary in the summer of 19h3 (R h78).

Prosecution witnesses Boussel, Loureau, Wierzchowski and Lubos testified that they never saw the accused slap or best immates (R 40, 56,

79, 235). Miloszewski stated in his Statement that after the accused replaced SCHMITZ the number of deaths in block 31 decreased (R 342; P-5x 7 p. 6, second section). Vierschowski testified that the accused did not select immates for extermination and that he heard such selections were made by the camp doctor (R 84). He never heard of the accused requesting tattooed skin (R 71).

De Resmini, a former Italian immate, who spent six weeks in the dispensary, stated in his Statement that the accused brought him painting material, called him "Maestro", smiled at him, gave him milk and vitamins, obtained an easy detail for a friend of his, hid him, the witness, in the dispensary after he had recovered and on one occasion shook hands with him (R h81; D-Ex 9A). Di Belgiojoso, another Italian immate who knew the accused at the dispensary, stated in his unsworn pretrial statement that the accused was "correct and humane" and that he especially helped Italian inmates (R h81; D-Ex 101).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The Court was warranted from the evidence as to the nature and extent of the accused's participation in its findings of guilty. It is clear that many immates were killed by injections in the dispensary, which was to some extent under the control of the accused. It is also clear that the injections must have been given with the knowledge of the accused. However, the evidence does not satisfactorily establish that the injections were given at the direction of the accused and, more particularly, it does not establish the true nature and extent of the accused's control over the dispensary and those who worked therein and gave the injections. The sentence is excessive.

Petitions: A Petition for Review was filed by Mr. Claudio Delitala, defense counsel, 12 August 1947. Petitions for Clemency were filed by accused, 23 October 1947, and one, undated; Alois Madlmayer, 23 October 1947; Dr. Helmut Vetter, 5 November 1947; Hans Glas, 15 November 1947; Karl Kaufman, 25 November 1947; Prof. Aldo Carpi DeResmini, Dr. Lodovico Barbiano di Belgiojoso and Prof. Carlo Vallardi, 28 October 1947; Prof. De Resmini and six consignors, undated; Prof. De Resmini

Carlo Vallardi, 21 October 1947; accused's father, Hans Bettista Giovanazzi, 29 November 1947; Emillie de Zordo Di Davida, undated; Ignaz Fumelter, 25 August 1947; Johann Schick, 26 August 1947; Tuise Felicitti, 25 August 1947; and Paul Schmied, 25 August 1947.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved but that the sentence be commuted to imprisorment for life.

2. Richard NUSZKOVSKI

Nationality:

German

Ages

lile

Civilian Status:

Unknown

Party Status:

Nazi Party 19hl

Military Status:

Waffen SS Sergeant

Ploa :

MU

Findings:

0

Sontoneo :

10 years, commoneing 5 May 1945

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused stated in his Statement that he joined the Waffen SS on 25 May 1941 (R 345; P-Fx 124). He stated in his Statement and also testified that after conving in other concentration camps he was assigned to subcamp Gusen in January 1943, where he remained until Parch or early April 1945 as a guard and guard leader (R 345; P-Fx 124, R 373, 374).

Boussel and Folger testified that the accused was, at various times, on duty at the entrance to the air raid shelter tunnel and frequently beat and bloked the irrates and also pushed them with his rifle butt in order to hurry them (R 22, 282). Witness Folger was indefinite and ambiguous in his testimony (R 282). Boussel also testified that an Italian inmate died from an infection resulting from those beatings (R 22, 20). In four instances blows by the accused resulted in the death of inmates (R 2h).

The accused testified that it was "possible" that he pushed immates to humany them (R 377).

Geiger testified that he saw the accused mistreat irmates often while the shifts were changing (R 315, 316, 326).

Evidence for Defense: The accused testified that he never beat or mistreated any immates (R 374, 375). He admitted that he had escerted immates to the tunnels when the shifts were changed (R 374) and that immates were beaten to hurry them into the tunnels during air raids (R 375). Zwick, a former guard, testified that he worked in the same detail with the accused; that the accused never beat or mistreated an immate (R 379); and that he had nothing to do with hurrying immates during air raids (R 381). He never saw any immates beaten during air raids (R 382). Weber, who only know the accused until 1962, testified that the accused never beat or mistreated inmates (R 388).

Prosecution witness Boussel testified that the accused was not in the SS but was an immate and a cape (R bo).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The Court was warranted from the evidence as to the nature and extent of the accused's participation in its findings of guilty. However, witness Bounsel who testified as to severe bestings by the accused remembered him as an immate and as a cape. Geiger's testimony as indicated at the outset hereof is of doubtful quality. Folger was indefinite in his testimony. The sentence to exceedive.

Fetitions: A Potition for Review was filed by Mr. Claudio Delitala, defense counsel, 12 August 1947. No Petitions for Clemency were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved, but that the sentence be reduced to imprisonment for three years, commencing 5 May 1915.

3. Alois PANHANS

Matiomality:

German

Age:

36

Civilian Status:

Teacher

Parety Statue .

Nami Party 1938.

Military Status:

Waffen SS Sergeant

Flea:

W

Findings .

-

Sentence:

20 years, commoncing 5 May 1945

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused stated in his Statement that he joined the Allgemeine SS 1 November 1938 and the Waffen SS 13 November 1939. He served as clerk in the property room at subcamp Gusen from 13 March 1941 to 31 January 1944, when he was transferred to Buchemen'd Concentration Camp (R 345; P-Ex 13). Kawalski and Rinker placed the accused at Gusen tarougnout 1944 and the early part of 1945 (R 163, 406). Kowalski, Kaminski and Reuter testified that the accused was in charge of the immate personal effects room at Gusen (R 163, 220, 394). Stentke, Kowalski and Lubos testified that the accused had frequent duties of an undisclosed nature in the kitchen (R 58, 163, 183, 239). Rinker also testified that the accused was required to be present in the immate compound at roll call (R 409).

Stankkeand Kowniski testified that during 1913, 1914, and 1945, the accused frequently heat inmates of verious nationalities (R 58, 60, 164, 187). Chormoki stated in his Statement that the accused several times beat immates severely, including a Polish princt, Marian Cutovsky, and a Spanish captain, Hermandes Consules (R 343; P-Ex 8 p. 5). Lubos testified that he saw the accused give an immate 25 blows with a stick in the kitchen, in the fall of 1943 (R 240). Stantke and Kowalski testified that these heatings by the accused were frequently administered with a cowhide whip (R 60, 164) and that on several occasions during the same years, while the accused was present in the kitchen, he punished immates by holding their heads in a barrel of water (R 59, 60, 185). Stantke further testified that in some instances the accused held their heads under water for two or three minutes at a time (R 59, 60). Kowmiski further testified that in 1944 on one occasion, when the accused came into the kitchen, he ordered that two Russian immates be given 25 strokes to be followed by cold baths. As a result one of them died. It was probable that the second immate died too The does not explain how he know the first victim died or why he believed it probable that the second victim died7(R162, 163). Immato clothing entrusted to the responsibility of the accused often disappeared (R 166). Kaminski testified that the accused was frequently responsible for the misappropriation of such property during

Polymany 1961 to 5 January 1964 (R 614); that he returned to Gusen for one day on the 29th of January 1964; and that he was immediately transferred to Buchementd Concentration Camp, never returning to Gusen thereafter (R 615). He did not go to the kitchen more than five or ten times altogether. He had no duties there (R 615). Furthermore, he never was in the kitchen in 1964 or 1965 (R 618). He admitted that he slapped two immates, both Germans, on two different occasions to keep them from assaulting other immates (R 616, 617). In feetiment he also decided that he was responsible for misappropriating any immate property (R 618, 623).

Reber and Router testified that the accused left Gusen for Oranienburg in January 1964 (R 389, 394) and, with the possible exception of one day when he returned for his personal effects, he was not in Gusen after January 1964 (R 395). Router further testified that the accused only entered the immate compound when the immates were "paid out" and that he never heard of any of the immate property being missing (R 397). Rinker, a former immate, testified that the accused was not a beater (R 406).

Stantice testified that the immates beaten by the accused were usually those caught organizing something [Steeling] (R 58).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The Court was warranted from the evidence as to the nature and extent of his participation in its findings of guilty. It is clear that he administered many beatings. However, it is not established that immates were killed by him or at his direction, nor is it satisfactorily established that beatines by him resulted in serious injuries to the immates. The sentence is excessive.

Petitions: A Petition for Review was filed by Mr. Claudio Delitala, defense secured, 12 August 1947. A Petition for Clemency was filed by accused, 28 December 1947.

Fecommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved, but that the sentence be reduced to impulsorment for 10 years, commencing 5 May 1945.

h. Theo SCHMITZ

Age:

Civilian Status:

Unknown

13

Tarty Status:

Nazi Farty 1937

Military Status:

Waffen SS Serount

Tion:

MG

Findings:

iii

Sentence:

Death by hanging

Evidence for Trosecution: The accused stated that he joined the Waffen SS 1 October 1940. He served first at subcamp Gusen as a guard until May 1941, when he was assigned to out-letails. He returned to Gusen 2 November 1942, where he served as a guard and as a member of the medical corps until 10 Jermany 1944. He returned to Gusen 30 October 1944 as a member of the medical corps in the troop dispensary, where he remained until 28 April 1945 (R 345; P-Ex 14). He testified that late in 1942 he was assigned to the enlisted men's dispensary at Gusen. He was transferred to the inmate dispensary in June 1943, where he remained until 8 January 1944. He also served in the dispensary from November 1944 to April 1945 (R 459). He further testified that during the period November 1944 to April 1945 injections were given in the dispensary to immates for the purpose of killing them.
The accused admitted that he gave an injection to one immate at his request (R 459-461).

Kowniski, Kaminski and Kushelev testified that the accused was chief of the dispensery during his last period of service at Gusen (R 158, 223, 260). Kowniski and Kushel also testified that he was chief of the dispensary during his entire service at Gusen (R 158, 223). Boussel and Lubos testified that the accused decided to which block, if any, sick immates were to be sent (R 16, 238, 239). Many were selected by him for block 31, which virtually meant a sentence of death (R 16-18, 235, 236, 239). This last testimony was corroborated by the testimony of Kowniski and the Statement of Bardoe-Lesiak, a former annate (R 190, 3h0; T-Fx 6). Kowniski testified further that the accused frequently ordered that cold baths be given to invalids whereby many irmates of various nationalities either drowned or

Miloszewski, a physician and former immate, stated in his Statement that he saw the accused give injections of lethal substance to the immates who then died; that he joked shout their death agony; and that the accused ordered 20 immates daily from room B block 31 to the septic department of block 27, where they received injections and died (R 342; P-Ex 7, pp h Part (2), 6 Part (2)). Kowalski testified that, on one occasion when injections were being given, he saw the accused through a window going from bed to bed followed by a cape with bottles; that he saw the accused loan over various hods; and that he saw the bedies of the dead immetes shortly thereafter (R 159-161, 187, 196-200). Kushelev testified that he saw the accused enter a room where injections were given once every week or two while injections were being administered (R 269, 279). Kaminski testified that he was told that the accused gave injections (R 233, 317). Kaminski, Dawlez-Lesiak and Miloszewski asserted that the accused selected the victims for injections (il 223, 3ho, 3h2; T.Ex 6, F.B. 7, p. 6 Tart (1)). Kowniski testified that the block eldest told him that the accused ordered that immates be injected (R 181, 201). Kushelev testified that the victims included both civilians and prisoners of war of various nationalities (H 279). Wierzchowski and Lubos testified that one day in February or March 1945, an irmate physician, Dr. Kaminski, kept them out of the crematory when they went individually to see him because he had told thom that the accused had taken a Russian inmate there to be injected because he had stolen bread (R 202, 203, 206, 208, 239, 252). Similarly, Rawicz-Lesiak, a former irmato, stated in his Statement that in June 19h3 another Russian was caught stenling bread and at the direction of the accused was taken to block 31 for an injection; that the witness was told he died therefrom; and that thereafter the accused stated publicly that a similar fate awaited anyone who stole bread (R 340; P-Fx 6).

Boussel testified that the accused selected immates to be sent on invalid transports for automination at other camps (N 21). Miloszewski stated in his Statement that on one such occasion the accused ordered that the immates be not fed while they were awaiting shipment on an invalid

transport. This order was given about two weeks before the transport left. Consequently, many of the inmates died from starvation (R 342; P-Ex 7, p. 7 (2)). Wherehowski tostified that the accused requested sections of tattooed skin taken from selected living immates (R 72, 84).

Goiger testified that he heard that the accused participated in injuctions and selected immates for transports (N 317, 318).

Evidence for Defense: Boussel and Wierzchewski testified that the accused did not mistreat the immates (R ho, 79).

The accused testified that the death injections were given by the block eldest as ordered by Major Krebsbach (R 460). The victims were selected by the dectors. The accused had nothing to do therewith (R 460). He further testified that he performed only one letter injection. He gave it to a German irmate, who was incurably ill, at the inmate's own request (R 461). The accused denied that he selected inmates for invalid transports (R 462); that he injected the Buccian who stole breal (R 462); that he ever sent any irmate to a cold bath (R 474); and that he over harmed an inmate (R 463). He further testified that he had seen injections given (R 466). He admitted that he had acked for a piece of instructing a group of enlisted men (R 462). He explained that the tattooing helped to demonstrate the effect of burning on the skin (R 469). He denied in his testimony that any inmate was put to death to get the skin, but asserted that some tatooed skin was already in the crematory (R 470).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The Court was warranted from the evidence as to the nature and extent of his participation in its findings of guilty. It is established that as chief of the dispensary lethal injections were given by him. The sentence is not excessive.

Potitions: A Petition for Review was filed by Mr. Claudio Delitala, defense counsel, 12 August 1947. No Petitions for Clemency were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

5. Georg SHEEACH

This accused was served but not tried (R 13).

6. Robert SCHAEFER

Nationality:

Corman

Ages

42

Civilian Status:

Unknown

Farty Status: Military Status: Flon:

Masi Tarty 1933 Waffen SS Sergeant

Findings:

Œ.

Sentence:

5 years, commoneing 25 May 1945

Evidence for Proscoution: The accused stated that he joined the Waffen S 1 Jamery 1950. He served as a guard at subcamp Gusen from 1 February 1961 to 1 March 1942. From the latter date until the liberation, he served as a machinist at the stone works at Ot. Chorsen, Which was a worksite of subcamp Gusen (R 345; T-Ex 15). Lubos identified him as a civilian machanic at the stone works (R 262, 263). Folger testified that he was in charge of the rock crusher (R 283) and that he later drove a locomotive (R 287).

Lubos and Folger further testified that the accused frequently beat immates (R cho, 283). Lubos testified that he reported immates to the camp administration for formal punishment (R 200). Folger testified that the accused beat a group of young Polish and Russian inmates with a stick (R 287). On another occasion he slapped a number of immates for taking excelsior at the railroad station to use as bedding (R 283, 288).

Evidence for Defense: No evidence was presented by the defense (R 482).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The findings of guilty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Petitions: A Petition for Review was filed by Mr. Claudio Delitala, defense counsel, 12 August 1947. No Petitions for Clemency were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

7. Otto WIGHMANN

This accused was neither served nor tried (R 13).

8. Heinrich WAGNER

Mationality:

Garman

Aget

35

Civilian Status:

Unknown

Tarty Status:

Nazi Party 1938

Military Status:

Waffen SS Sergeant

Tlent:

M

Findings:

P.

Sentencer

Life imprisonment

Evidence for Presention: The accused stated in his Statement that he joined the Allgemeine SS 1 November 1934 and the Waffen SS 1 November 1940. He served at subcomp Guson from 1 November 1940 to April 1943; at subcomp Wiener-Neustadt from April 1943 to November 1943; at subcomp Dippolsau from November 1943 to April 1944; and at subcomp Bhensee from April 1944 to April 1945. He further stated therein that all of his duties were performed as a guard, except at the last mentioned camp, with regard to which he did not describe his duties (R 345; T-Ex 16). Former inmate Kamineld stated in his Statement that the accused was at Gusen from March 1943 to April 1944 (R 344; T-Ex 9, p. 1).

The accused testified that he was at Gusen from November 1960 until June 1963; that he was a guard at Wiener-Neustadt until November 1963; that he served at Dippolsan from November 1963 to the end of April 1966; that thereafter he served at subcamp Ebensee; and that he was a dog leader during his entire service following January 1963 (R 436, 435). Fajks and Kupfer identified him as a dog leader at subcamp Ebensee (R 88, 425).

Witness But testified that on one occasion the accused beat an immate with a stick until he was apparently dead (R 110, 111). This immate was a Jew of unknown nationality, perhaps Polish or Hungarian (R 112) but, in any case, a non-German (R 116). The witness, a Pole, testified that, in November or December 1911 in the stone quarry at Bronzee, the accused set his dog on him, assaulted him with a club, and kicked him for having picked up a piece of scrap rubber. He was injured so seriously that he had to go to the

hospital (R 108-110). Witness But further testified that he saw the accused best a Jew to death with a club at the stone quarry (R 110, 111). Piont-kowski testified that the accused best to death with a stick a Polich Jewish immate by the name of Moniek. The witness saw the events leading up to the besting and saw the dead body soon afterwards, but apparently did not see the actual besting (R 128). The witness, a Pole, testified that he too was kicked by the accused and underwent an attack by the accused's dog. He still carried scars, which he exhibited to the Court (R 127).

Kaminski stated in his Statement that while the accused was at Gusen as a work imapector, he forced two Russian immates to carry heavy stones at double time until the victims dropped them from exhaustion, whereupon he picked up the stones and heat them to death (R 3hh; P-Ex 9, p. 2).

Fafks, a former immate of subcamp Dhenseo, testified that in the fall of 19hh the accused captured an escaped Russian immate. The accused cet his dog on the immate. The victim bled from the attack (R 88, 89, 103).

Evidence for Defense: Kupfor, a former immate, testified that he had frequently remained watches and radios at the request of the secured at Ebensee during the period between May 19hh and the liberation. The accused frequently gave him food (R h26, h31). The accused had a good reputation and never beat immates (R h25-h26). The accused a dog was trained to "grab" immates (R h29), but it was very friendly toward the witness (R h28). The sen of Eupfer, who was also an immate, in a joint unswern pretrial statement with his father, corresponded this testimeny and stated that the accused delivered food to the immates purchased at his own expense (R hh3; D-Ex 1).

In an unsworn pretrial statement five persons jointly stated that the accused brought Kupfer to their home near Phonsee where he was permitted to make small repairs on their radios in exchange for food, and that they never saw the accused mistreat an irmate (R hih; D-Ex 2). Turk, a former cape, in an unsworn pretrial statement, stated that the accused was never inhumane toward the irmates (R hh7; D-Ex 3).

The accused testified that his dog was not trained to bite immates, but to bark and stop them if they attempted to escape. The dog frequently became excited and had to be restrained (R hho). He testified further that he requested transfer to combat service (R hho). A Russian immate who escaped in the fall of 19hh broke into houses and strangled one woman. He joined in the search for the immate as a dog leader but arrived after the immate had been caught in a shed (R h35, h36). He explained that this immate was accompanied back to camp (R h36). He specifically denied being involved in each of the incidents covered by the prosecution's evidence (R h36). He denied that he was in Gusen when the Russians, referred to in P-Ex 9 (R 3h3), were alleged to have been walled (R hh2, hh3).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The findings of guilty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Potitions: A Potition for Roview was filed by Mr. Claudio Delibaia, defense counsel, 12 August 1917. No Petitions for Clemency were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

9. Laspold WIMMER

Netionality

German

Age:

49

Civilian Status :

Unknown

Party Status:

Nazi Party 1939

Military Status:

Luftwaffe, Waffen SS Technical

Sergeant

Plea:

MI

Findings:

0

Sentence:

10 years, commencing 7 May 1945

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused stated in his Statement made in December 1946 that he joined the air corps 15 August 1939 and was transforred to the Waffen SS 12 December 1944. He was assigned to subcamp Wiener-Neudorf on 3 September 1944. He remained there until 2 April 1945 when he left with an immate transport for Mauthauson. He stated further therein

that he arrived at Gusen on 15 April 1945, where he remained until 3 May 1945. He was a detail leader at Wiener-Neudorf and a guard at Gusen (R 345, Page 17).

carlier than 15 April 1945. Tamoryk stated in his statement that the accused was in Gusen in the summer of 1943; that he saw him hearly every day until Fay 1945; that in the summer of 1943 he saw him beat a Polish inpute to death at the stone quarry with a club; that he saw the dead bedy; and that he frequently saw the accused beat inmates (R 3/4; T-Rx 10). Weis, a former immate of Gusen, testified that the accused was there in December 1944; that in the winter of 1944-1945 the accused forced immates out of the dispensery and caused them to stand on the roll call square naked for about two and one half hours; and that as a result about 112 of them died (R 137, 138). The accused also kicked the witness (R 139). Schneider, a former limits of Gusen, testified that in the winter of 1944-1945 the accused, dressed in immate clothing, beat a young Russian immate until he collapsed (R 212-214, 217, 218). The witness placed this incident at a considerable period of time before the liberation (R 218).

Evidence for Defense: It was stipulated that accused's soldier's remord of service shows that he was transferred from the air corps to a guard company of the air corps at Wiener-Neudorf on 2 October 19hh (R 365). Schuldt, Roggan and Rupp, former SS mon, testified that they know the accused at Wiener-Neudorf in December 19hh, where he was stationed as a guard or detail leader and that he remained there until April 19h5 (R 366-368, 371, 372, 383-386). Wiener-Neudorf was 200 to 300 kilometers from Ousen (R 371, 38h).

The aucused did not testify until after he had been found guilty. He then testified that he was not in Gusen at any of the times alleged. He was in Wiener-Neudorf from October 1944 to 5 April 1945, when he left on an immate transport armiving at Gusen on 15 April 1945. He remained at Gusen until 3 May 1945 (R 489).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The Court was warranted from the evidence as to the nature and extent of his participation in its findings of guilty. He admitted service as a detail leader. However, the evidence fails to establish that he was at subcamp Gusen during 19h3 or 19hh. Hence, the evidence as to beatings administered by him there is not persuasive. The sentence is excessive.

Fetitions: A Fetition for Review was filed by Mr. Claudio Delitala, defense counsel, 12 August 1947. Petitions for Clemency were filed by Dr. Hielsch. German attorney. 31 March 1948 and 6 March 1948.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved, but that imprisonment for the sentence be reduced to/three years, commencing 7 May 1945.

10. Ferdinand WEISHAR
This accused was served but not tried (R 13).

11. Florian STUETZ

Nationality: Comman

Age: 23

Civilian Status: Unknown

Party Status: Unknown

Wilitary Status: Waffen SS Corporal

Plea: NG

Findings: G

Sentence: 30 years, commencing 5 May 1945

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused stated that he joined the Waffen SS h April 19h2. He served at Mauthausen Concentration Camp and its subcamps Cusen and Wien-Schwechat continuously from 25 July 19h2 to 9 April 19h5 as a messenger, driver, guard and assistant block leader. He served also as a guard on four immate transports between September 19h3 and September 19hh (R 3h5; P-Ex 18).

Kowslski testified that, in 1943 while at Gusen, the accused beat immates of various nationalities with a stick and with his hands and kicked them in order to rush them into the air reid shelter during air raids (R 164, 165). In 1944 the accused was assigned to a railway un-

Karl Geiger testified that, while at Gusen in August 1966 (R 329), the accused upon one occasion beat a number of immates who were not moving into the air raid shelter fast enough to suit him (R 312). Two of thom, a Yugoslav or Fole and a Frenchman, were trampled to death by other inmates (R 312, 322-326). Four immates were beaten by the accused so severely that they died (R 322-326). Geiger further testified that the accused used his rifle butt in administering these beatings (R 313).

Evidence for Defense: Kowalski testified that the accused treated his detail at the milrord station very well, although he thought this may have been influenced by the fact that these were mostly stronger, better off people, who were smuggling and the accused knew he could profit in dealing with them (R 166). Schrader and Cettermann, former SS men, stated in their unswern pretrial statements that the accused was recalled from Wien-Schwechat and given 21 days arrest for having done favors for immates (R hhe; D-Er 7; R hso, D-Er 8).

In his testimony the accused admitted that he once slapped an inmate for stealing bread (R 152). He denied that he had beaten any inmates causing their death (R 153) and stated he was never a guard at the
air raid tunnel (R 15h), although he admitted that immates were beaten upon
occasion while going into the air raid tunnel (R 155).

Geiger, who testified regarding the beatings which resulted in death, stated that the accused had always been good to the immates, except for that one occasion, and that he was surprised to see him behave in that manner (R 312, 320).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The Court was warranted from the evidence concerning the nature and extent of his participation in its findings of cuilty. However, after excluding the testimony of Colgar as to beatings which allegedly resulted in deaths, there remains only the testimony of Kowelski which does not establish that the beatings were severe. The sentence is excessive.

Petitions: A Tetition for Review was filed by Mr. Claudio Delitela, defense counsel, 12 August 1947. No Fetitions for Clemency were filed. Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved, but that the sentence be reduced to imprisonment for five years, commencing 5 May 1945.

12. Robert WEFERS

This accused was acquitted (R 488).

V. QUESTIONS OF LAW:

Jurisdiction: It is clear that the Court had jurisdiction of the persons of the accused and of the subject matter.

Application of Farant Casa: The Court was required to take cognizance of the decision rendered in the Parent Case, including the findings of the Court therein that the mass atrocity operation was criminal in nature and that the participants therein, acting in pursuance of a common decign, subjected persons to killings, beatings, tortures, etc., and was warranted in inferring that those shown to have participated knew of the criminal nature thereof (Letter, Headquarters, United States Forces, European Theater, file AG 000.5 AG-4GO, subject: "Trial of War Crimes Cases," 14 October 1946, and the Tarent Case). The convicted accused were shown to have participated in the mass atrocity and the Court was warranted by the evidence adduced, either in the Farent Case or in this subsequent proceedings, in concluding as to them that they participated to a substantial degree. However, the nature and extent of the participation on the part of GIOVAM ZZI, MUSZKOWSKI, BANHANS, WINTER and STUETZ were not such as to warrant the sentences imposed, as indicated by the recommendations made as to each in Section IV, supra.

Motion for Findings of Not Guilty: At the close of the prosecution's case, the defense moved that findings of not guilty be made as to accused WINTER and "EFFES for the reason that the proceention had falled to establish a prime facie case (R 3h9). It is not error for a war crimes tribunal to overrule a motion for findings of not guilty made at the close of the case for the prosecution, if it believes that there is sufficient evidence to support the charge and that the accused should be required to answer it (Section 5-327.2, Title 5, "Legal and Penal Administration" of "Wilitary"

Government Regulations", published by Office of Military Government for Germany (US), 27 Warch 1947). The motion was properly overruled (R 352). A similar practice is followed in courts-martial (Taragraph 71, d, "Manual for Courts-Wartial, U.S. Army", 1928).

An examination of the entire record fails to disclose any error or omission in the conduct of the trial which resulted in injustice to the accused.

VI. CONCLUSIONS:

- the convicted accused be approved; but that the sentence as to accused GIOVAN-ZZI be commuted to imprisonment for life; that the sentence as to accused NUSZKOWSKI be reduced to imprisonment for three years, commencing 5 May 1945; that the sentence as to accused TAMMANS be reduced to imprisonment for 10 years, commencing 5 May 1945; that the sentence as to accused WINTER be reduced to imprisonment for three years, commencing 7 May 1945; and that the sentence as to accused WINTER be reduced to imprisonment for three years, commencing 7 May 1945; and that the sentence as to accused STUETZ be reduced to imprisonment for five years, commencing 5 May 1945.
- 2. Legal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attached hereto, should it meet with approval.

					Post Trial Branch	
Having	examined	the recor	of trial,	I concur,	this	
day of		The state of the s	1948.			

C. E. STRAIGHT Lieutenant Colonel, AGD Deputy Judge Advocate for War Crimes

ROBERT E. BACHMAN

Contain