7708 WAR CRIMES GROUP EUROPEAN COMMAND

21 May 1947

UNITED STATES

V S

Case No. 000-50-2-7

Rudolf DIPPE, August DRECHSEL, Georg GOETZ, Roland GROSSMANN, (Josef HOELL), Ernst HOLLER, Benno LEIMINGER, Robert LENZ, all German nationals

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. TRIAL DATA:

Tried at Dachau, Germany
Date: 25 - 29 October 1946
Intermediate Military Government Court

ACCUSED

DATA

SENTENCE

DIPPE, Rudolf

Age 41

Porcelain factory manager, from 19 May 1945

55, out-camp Allach

Rank: 1st Lieutenant

DRECHSEL, August

Age 37

Porcelain factory foreman,
S5, out-camp Allach
Rank: Unknown

GOETZ, Georg
Age 41
Shoe shop foreman, 55, from 12 May 1945
Camp Dachau
Rank: Unknown

GLOSSMAN, Reland Age 25 Sequittal Factory clerk, 55, Camp Dachau Rank: Unknown

Age 45
Shoe shop worker, SS, from 9 May 1945
Camp Dachau
Rank: Pfc

LEIMINGER, Benno Age 44 Acquittel 55, laborer, Camp Dachau Rank: Unknown

LENZ, Robert

Age 45 SS guard, Camp Dachau Rank: Unknown 2 years confinement from 2 May 1945

FIRST CHARGE: Violation of the laws and Usages of War.

PARTICULARS: In that Rudolf DIPPE. august DRECHSEL, Georg GOETZ, Roland GROSSMANN, (Josef HOELL), rnst HOLLER, Benno LEIMINGER, bert LENZ, acting in pursuance f a common design to commit the acts hereinafter alleged, and as individuals aiding the operation of the Dachau Concentration Camp and camps subsidiary thereto, did, at, or in the vicinity of DACHAU and LANDSBERG, Germany, between about 1 January 1942 and about 29 April 1945, wilfully, deliberately and wrongfully participate in the subjection of civilian nationals of nations then at war with the then German Reich to cruelties and mistreatment, the exact names and numbers of such civilian nationals being unknown but aggregating many thousands who were then and there in the custody of the then German Reich in exercise of belligerent control.

DECOND CHARGE: Violation of the Laws and Usages of War.

PARTICULARS: In that Rudolf DIPPE. August DRECHSEL, Georg GOETZ, Roland GROSSMANN, (Josef HOELL), Ernst HOLLER, Benne LEIMINGER, Robert LENZ, acting in pursuance of a common design to commit the acts hereinafter alleged, and as individuals aiding in the operation of the Dachau Concentration Camp, did, at or in the vicinity of DACHAU, Germany, between about 1 January 1942 and about 29 April 1945, wilfully, deliberately and wrongfully participate in the subjection of members of the armed forces of nations then at war with the then German Reich, who were then and there surrendered and unarmed prisoners of war in the

	Pleas	Findings
DIFFE	NG	G
DRECHSEL	NG -	NG
GOETZ	NG	G
GROSSMANN	NG	NG
HOLLER	NG	G
LEIMINGER	NG	NG NG
LENZ	NG	G

	Pleas	Findings
DIPPE	NG	G
DRECHSEL.	NG	NG
GOETZ	NG	G.
GROSSMANN	NG	NG
HOLLER	110	G
LEIMINGER	NG	NG
LENZ	NG	G

custody of the then German Reich, to cruelties and mistroatment, the exact names and numbers of such prisoners of war being unknown but aggregating many hundreds.

2. <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>: That the findings and sentences to approved except that the sentence imposed in the case of Rudolf DIPPE be reduced to confinement for a period of 3 years.

3. EVIDENCE:

For the Prosecution. The prosecution's case was based on the testimony of two witnesses, NORCHOLSKI (R 10) and KALUGA (R 13), former inmates of Camp Dachau, and extrajudicial sworn testimony as hereinafter indicated. Exhibit P-1 is a certified copy of the charges, particulars, findings and sentences in the parent Dachau Concentration Camp case (U.S. vs Weiss et al., 000-50-2, March 1946; R 9). Exhibits P-2 thru 8 are extrajudicial sworn testimony of each of the accused with the exception of Josef HOELL, whose name was stricken from the charges and particulars (R 3).

All of the accused were, by their own admissions, members of the 55 at Camp Dachau and/or its out-camps, with varying ranks and duties, for considerable periods of time between the dates alleged (P-Ex 2 thru 8).

For the Defense. The defense's case was based on oral testimony on behalf of some of the accused and sworn extrajudicial testimony of the accused. Accused DIPÆ took the stand in his own defense. All of the accused deniedhaving mistreated prisoners and some claimed to have no knowledge of the operation of the concentration camp.

Accused DIPPE. A porcelain factory employee since 1939, was made manager of the procelain manufacturing plant at Allach in May 1944, where 100 prisoners worked. He joined the SS in 1940 (P-Ex 2, R 21); was promoted to 1st Lieutenant in the SS in April 1943, and were the SS uniform from May 1943 (R 71). The use of inmates in the Allach percelain factory began in 1941. Polish, Creck, Dutch,

Belgian, Russian and Austrian political prisoners were assigned to work there (R 72). He heard of beatings and executions inside Camp Dachau. He knew of Dr. SCHILLING'S malaria experiments and the transports to and from Camp Dachau (R 74). He was a member of the NSDAP since 1935 (R 85). Georg ROEDELSPERGER, a defense witness and a formar inmate, testified from the stand that DIPPE chose the SS in order to avoid going to the front lines with the armed forces (R 63).

In defense, DIPPE stated that prisoners in the porcelain factory at out-camp allach were never beaten, mistreated or reported for punishment by him (P-Ex 3, R 21). Defense witness Karl SCHWEN-EMANN, a former inmate, testified that he worked at the porcelain factory under D-PPE and that DIPPE'S treatment of his workers was excellent; that he procured extra rations for them and interceded for them when reported by others for punishment (R 39, 40). Defense witness Georg ROEDELSPERGER, a former inmate, testified he worked for a while in the porcelain factory under DIPPE and that he got better treatment at the porcelain factory than on other details. Prisoners were treated as human beings and were protected (R 48).

Accused Goetz was manager of the shoe shop at Camp Dachau where 60 to 170 prisoners made shoes for the Waffen SS. Reports of offenses by prisoners came to GOETZ from a civilian foreman and he passed them on to the manager of the clothing factory. Prisoners were then punished (P-Ex 4, h 22). Josef SANDECK, a former inmate, testified that it was the duty of GOETZ as manager of the shoe shop to report prisoners for punishment (R 96).

In defense, it was shown that GOETZ was manager of the shoomaker's shop at Camp Dachau. He claims no prisoners were beaten by the capos, SS men, or by him (P-Ex 4, R 22). Defense witness Georg STEINBECKER, a former inmate on the shoe shop detail, testified GOETZ never beat anyone or reported anyone for punishment; that he was liked by the majority of prisoners (R 100). Josef SANDECK, a defense witness, testified GOETZ treated prisoners well. SANDECK talked

nounced GOETZ (R 93). Peter BOGDLESKI, a defense witness, testified he never saw GOETZ mistrent anyone nor did GOETZ mistrent him (R 140). Defense witness, Jan UFEL, a former inmate, testified GOETZ supervised the entire factory (R 147).

Accused HOLLER was taken into the SS in October 1942 and was assigned to the shoemaker's shop, Camp Dachau, where there were 68 to 72 prisoners of German, Italian, Yugoslavian, Polish, Ukranian and Trench nationalities (P-Ex 6, k 24). Prosecution witness Josef KALUtestified he saw HOLLER at the hanging of two hussians near the clothing shop and HOLLER, as well as other guards, were laughing.

1011 The was a capo in the shoe shop (k 15). All guards and prisoners.

In defense, HOLLER stated that he was a cutter and stitcher in the shee factory, and that he never saw prisoners beaten or mistreated. He had no connection with the concentration camp itself .-Ex 6, E 24). Defense witness STEINBECDER testified he knew HOLLER well, worked under him, and was never mistreated by him, nor did he ever hear of HOLLER mistreating prisoners (E 153). Peter BOGDAMSKI, a defense witness, testified he never saw HOLLER mistreat anyone, nor did HOLLER mistreat him (E 140). Jun UFEL, a topmer innate, testified HOLLER not only treated prisoners well but helped them. He did not sear of HOLLER making reports of misconduct of prisoners (R 146,147).

Accused LENZ was sent to Camp Dachau in July 1941 to become work detail guard at the tailor and shoemaker's shop. There were bout 200 prisoners of various nationalities in the two shops with 3 to 10 guards over them. LENZ was a guard on the prisoner march from Dachau to Bad Toelz, 28 - 29 April 1945 (P-Ex8, R 25). Defense with less Franz MEAZ testified that LENZ was a guard in the tailor and shoe shops where beatings and mistrestment occurred (R 165).

In defense, LENZ stated he never saw prisoners beaten or mistreated by guards. He claimed to know nothing about the operation of the concentration are itself and made no insulties to learn

testified he never saw or heard of LEWZ mistreating prisoners during LEWZ'S tour as a work detail guard (h 157). Franz MhAZ, a witness for the defense and now a prisoner, was a cape in the tailor shop.

He testified LEWZ never beat any prisoners and that none of the prisoners were afraid of LEWZ (h 163). LEWZ did not denounce or file a report against the two hussians who were hanged (h 164).

- 4. JUNISDICTION: The Court was legally constituted and had jurisdiction of the persons of the accused and of the offenses.
- orror or omission which resulted in injustice to the accused.

The Court was required to take cognizance of the decision rendered in the parent Dachau Concentration Camp Case, including the findings of the Court therein, that the mass atrocity operation was oriminal in nature and that the participants therein, acting in pursuance of a commen design, subjected persons to killings, begtings, torture, etc., and was warranted in inferring that those shown to have participated knew of the criminal vature thereof (Letter, Headquarters, United States Forces, European Theater, file AG 000.5 JAG-4GO, subject: "Trial of War Crimes Cases", 14 October 1946, letters superseded thereby, and U.S. vs Weiss et al., 000-50-2, March 1946). All the accused were shown to have participated in the mass atrocity and the Court was warranted by the evidence adduced, either in the parent Dachau Concentration Camp Case or in this subsequent proceeding, in concluding that they not only participated to a substantial degree but that the nature and extent of their participation was such as to warrant the sentences imposed.

After weighing the evidence the Court acquitted accused DRBOHOFE, GLOSSMAN and LEIMINGER.

Concerning accused DIPPE, it is true he was shown to have been manager of the Allach percelain factory, that he joined the SS in order to retain his position in his chosen profession, the manufacture of percelain ware; however, it was shown by competent testimony

that his conduct with respect to the prisoners working in his factory was such as to cause many immates working on other details to want to be assigned to work there, because of the fact that DIFIE did not allow mistreatment in the procelain factory. It is therefore believed that the sentence imposed by the Court as to DIFIE is excessive.

6. CLEMBROX: A Petition for Review, inclosing 11 testimentals, was filed on 11 February 1947 on behalf of accused Rudolf DIFFE, Georg GOETZ, Ernst HOLLER and Rebert LENZ by Dr. Max RAU. Consideration has been given to a Petition for Clemency, dated 14 april 1947 on bushalf of accused Georg GOETZ, signed by his brother Adam GOETZ. No clemency is recommended.

7 CONCLUSIONS:

- a. It is recommended that the sentences be approved except as to hudelf DITE.
- b. It is recommended that the sentence imposed in the case of hudelf DI.TE be reduced to confinement for a period of three years.
- d. Legal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attached hereto, should it meet with approval,

s/ Louis T. Tischer t/ Louis T. Tischer Attorney Post Trial Section

Having examined the record of trial, I concur.

C. E. STRAIGHT
Colonel, JAGD
Deputy Judge Advocate
for War Crimes

MILITARGERICHT

ORDER ON DEVIEW Verfügung nach Überprüfung

vollagang man doo				
Case No. 000-50-2-7	Order No.			
Strafsache Nr. 000-50-2-7	vorrügung Nr.			
	The state of the state of the state of			
Whereas one Rudolf DIPP				
(Name of Accused)	(Neme des (der) Angeklagten)			
was convicted of the offence of participati	on in Dochey Concentration Comp			
mass ctroci				
wogen der folgenden strafbaren Handlung Tei	Inahme on Massengroueltaten im			
	on-lager Dachau.			
*Anmunex	Var. Var.			
by the *Intermediate Military Court	at Dochou; Gormany			
*Congral	in Dachau, Gormany			
vom *Mittloren Militargerichte	(Address of Court)			
	(Anschrift dos Gorichts)			
Carried Manager W. S. H.	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR			
and sentenced to imprisonment for 5 years o				
schuldig orkannt und zu 5 Jahron Gefangnis	vorurtoilt, mit Wirlang ab 19 Mai			
1945.				
by Judgment dated the 29 October 1945 durch Urteil vom 29 Oktober 1946	194 and			
duren or cert von 2) on cooler 1345	(Dato)			
and a series of the second in the second	(Dotum)			
	ore me by way of review and after			
	prifung vorgelogt worden und nach			
due consideration and in exercise of the po				
entsprechenden Studium des Sachverhaltes un	d in Ausubung der mir ubertragenen			
order: Befugnisse verfüge ich:				
That the findings and sentence or	a approved (but the period of			
confinement is reduced to 3 years). The Co				
District, will confine the individual in War Crim ral Prison No, 1, Landsborg.				
Germany, for a period of 3 years commencing				
	statigt worden (die Dener der Haft			
jodoch auf 3 Jahren vermindert wird). Der				
bozirk 1, wird die Inhaftierung des Betroff	onden in Krisseverbrechergerengnis			
No. 1, Landsberg, Deutschland, fur die Zeit	von 5 Jahren mit dirkung ab 19 Eai			
1945, veronlossen.				
Deted this				
Gogobon am 16 Juno 1947				
	(6.5,00)			
	/s/ T. T. HAPPAHON IT.			
	/s/ J. L. HARBAUGH, Jr., (Signature of Reviewing			
	Authority)			
	Mate:			
*Strike out werds not applicable.	Colonel JAGD			
*Nichtzutroffondos ist zu durchstroichon.	Judge Advocate			
	(Title)			
	(Titol)			