7708 VAR CRIMES CROUP EUROPEAN COMMAND APO 407

18 September 1947

UNITED STATES)

Case No. 000-50-2-40

Albert STEPAN, et al.)

MEVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

T. TRIAL DATA: The assumed were tried at Dachau, Germany, during the period 23-24 December 1946, before an Intermediate Military Government Court.

II. CHARGES AND PARTICULARS:

FIRST CHARGE: Violation of the laws and Usages of War.

Particulars: In that Albert STEFAN, Indwig TRESCHER, Werner UIMER, Johann VERHOEVEN, Karl W. (MER, Erich Siegiamund WANDER, Worner Max Johannes WASTRACH, Otto Kaspar WEBER, Josef WEENER, Christoph WEYDEMANN, acting in pursuance of a common design to commit the acts hereinafter elloged, and as individuals adding the operation of the Duchau Concentration Camp and camps subsidiary thereto, did, at or in the vicinity of DACHAU and L.MDCHERG, Cormany, between about 1 January 1942 and about 29 April 1945, witfully, deliberately and wrongfully participate in the subjection of civilian nationals of notions then at war with the then German Reich to cruelties and mistreatment, the exact names and numbers of such civilian nationals being unknown but aggregating many thousands who were then and there in the custody of the then German Reich in exercise of belligerent control.

UECOND CHARGE: Violation of the laws and Usages of War.

Particulars: In that Albert STEFAN, Ludwig TRESCHER, Worner UIMER, Februar VERNER, Marie Machine, Erich Siegismund WANDER, Werner Max Johannes WANTRACH, Otto Kasper WERER, Josef WERNER, Christoph WEYLEMANN, acting in pursuance of a common design to commit the acts hereinafter alleged, and as individuals siding in the operation of the Dachau Concentration Camp, did, at or in the vicinity or DACHAU, Germany, between about 1 January 1942 and about 29 April 1945, wilfully, deliberately and wrongfully participate in the subjection of members of the armed forces of nations then at war with the then German Reich, who were then and there surrendered and unarmed prisoners of war in the custody of the thun German Reich, to cruelties and mistreatment, the exact names and numbers of such prisoners of war being unknown but aggregating many hundreds.

members of the SS at Camp Dachau and/or its outcamps for considerable periods of time between the dates alleged, and were shown to have participated to a substantial degree in the Dachau Concentration Camp mass atrocity. Presecution exhibit P-Ex 1 is a certified copy of the charges, particulars, findings and sentences in the parent Dachau Concentration Camp case (U.S. v. Weiss, et al., 000-50-2, March 1946, hereinafter referred to as the "Parent Case"; see Section V, post; R 11).

IV. EVIDENCE AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. ALBERT STEFAN

Nationality: German

Age: 59

Civilian Status: Unknown

Party Status: Unknown

Military Status: SS Sergeant

Plea: NG Charge I; NG Charge II

Findings: G Charge I; G Charge II

Sentence: 2 years, commencing 4 May 1945

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused was a guard at Camp Dachau from 10 Docember 1941 to 16 March 1942, at Sendlingen, near Munich (apparently an outcomp of Camp Dachau), from 16 March 1942 to 25 August 1942; at Camp Dachau from September 1942 to April 1943; at outcamp Bayerisch Zell in April 1943; at Camp Dachau for three days; at outcamp Taushern from May 1943 to July 1943; at Camp Dachau from July 1943 to 1 october 1944; at outcamp Kaufbucren from 1 october 1944 to 7 January 1945; and at Camp Dachau from 8 January 1945 to 27 April 1945 (R 13; P-Ex 2). He served as tower guard at Camp Dachau (R 20).

Evidence for Defense: The accused did not participate in any movements of prisoners (R 13; P-Ex 2). He testified in court that, at the time of the line-up, prisoners stated that he did not beat them.

He used to say to them, "You are being punished enough, I den't have to mustably you are moved." I den't have beaten or mistreated prisoners

or knowing of the mistreatment of the prisoners (R 20). No prisoners were mistreated on his detail (R 21).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The Mindings of guilty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Petitions: No Petitions for Review nor Petitions for Clamency were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

2. INDUIG TRESCHER

Nationality: Gorman

Age: . 37

Civilian Status: Unknown

Party Status: Unknown

Military Status: SS Corporal

Ploa: NG Charge II

Findings: G Charge I; G Charge II

Sentence: 1 year, 11 months, commencing 8 May 1945

Evidence for Prosecution: Accused was a guard at Camp Dachau from 7 July 1944 to 27 April 1945. The served as an escort guard with twenty other guards on a one day transport of 400 prisoners from Camp Dachau to Speichingon (apparently an outcamp of Camp Dachau) in October 1944; a one day transport of from 800 to 1,000 prisoners with 40 guards from Camp Dachau to Camp Buchenwald in November 1944; a one day transport of 800 to 1,000 prisoners in December 1944 from Camp Dachau to Camp Dachau to Camp

Evidence for Defense: The accused testified that he did not know why he was transferred to the SS (R 22). He had a physical disability, which is not him unrit for further duty at the front. He denies knowledge of any mistreetment of prisoners (R 23). The prisoners who went to Speichingen were healthy and went there to work. The prisoners who went to Camp Buchentals were healthy. He saw no undersed prisoners or prisoners in need of clothing (R 24). None died on the trips. He only guarded prisoners who worked outside the camp (.5). The accused was transferred, because

of an injury, to the SS Guard at Comp Dachau. He was never a Nazi, was antagonistic towards National Socialism, and never boat or mistroated prisoners (R 26; D-Mx 1, R 27; D-Ex 2, R 28; D-Exs 3, 4).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The findings of guilty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Potitions: No Potitions for Review nor Potitions for Clemency were filed.

Recommendation: The t the findings and sontence be approved.

3. WERNER UIMER

Notionality:

Gorman

Ago:

25

Civilian Status:

Unknown

Porty Status:

Unknown

Military Status:

SS Sorgonnt

Pleu:

NG Charge I; NG Charge II

Findings:

G Charge I; G Charge II

Sentence:

1 year, 8 months, commoneing

5 May 1945

Evidence for Presecution: The secured served at Comp Dachau from February 1942 to Arril 1945, after being ordered to the guard company as paymenter (N 13c.; P-Ex 4). He satisfied that he served three days as a guard at Comp Dachau over two prisoners who he believes were Germans (R 32).

Evidence for Defense: The account did not perticipate in any movements of prisoners (R: 130; P-Dr a). He tentified in court that he never districted may prisoners, He had a stiff left arm due to an injury at the front, did daty in the orderly room in the goard company area, and had no contact which prisoners. He did not hear guards talk about the mistrortaint of prisoners (R 30) and did not know that they were mistrorted. Prisoners were dressed well and fed well (R 31).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The evidence establishes that the accused participated in the operation. Regardless of the character of his duties, in view of his longth of service at Dechau, the Court was warranted from

concluding that the accused knew of the criminal nature of the operation.

The findings of guilty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Totitions: No Potitions for Review nor Potitions for Clamoney were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

4. JOHANN VERHOEVEN

Nationality: Gorman

Ago: 41

Civilian Status: Unknown

Party Status: Unknown

Military Status: SS Corporal

Plea: NG Charge I; NG Charge II

Findings: G Charge I; G Charge II

Sentence: 2 years, commoncing 1 May 1945

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused was a guard at outcomp Allach from 7 August 1944 to 30 April 1945 (R 14: P-Ex 5). He served as a guard on a prisoner murch with fifty guards and about 500 prisoners from outcomp Allach to Baieroberg during the period 26 April 1945 to 30 April 1945 (R 14: P-Ex 5). The recused testified in Court that he did not know have many prisoners the black the prisoner murch of 26-30 April 1945 and admitted hearing shows along the column. He "supposes" the shots were directed as escaping prisoners (R 36). The prisoners he guarded were Germans, Poles, and Pusciens (R 36).

Dvidence for Desense: The account tentified that he never mintreated prisoners and know of no asstrontenant of prisoners at outcomp Allach (N 33). The prisoners were well for and and were clothing. He denied that guards billed prisoners on the prisoner sapple on 26-30 April 1945 (R 35).

Cuffucioncy of Evidence: The findings of guilty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Potitions: No Potitions for Review nor Potitions for Clemency were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

5. KARL WAGNER

Netionality:

German

Ago:

45

Civilian Status:

Unknown

Party Status:

Unknown

Military Status:

SS Sergeant

Plear

NG Charge I; NG Charge II

Findings:

G Charge I; G Charge II

Sentence:

a amage at a country at

1 year, 8 months, commencing 6 May 1945

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused served at Camp Dachau with the first company of the guard bettalion from 19 June 1941 to August 1943 as a clark and paymenter; was transferred to third company of the guard battalion at Camp Dachau from August 1943 to 6 January 1944 as paymenter; and was transferred to the headquarters staff at Camp Dachau from 7 January 1944 to 6 May 1945 as paymenter (R 15; P-Ex 6).

Evidence for Defense: The accused served as a clock and paymenter for matters of SE personnel only. He took no part in the movement of any misoners (R. 15; P.Er. 6).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The findings of guilty are warranted by

Potition: No Petasions for Review nor Potitions for Clamoney were

Recommendation: "Fact the first were sentence be approved.

6. ERICH STOCKSMIND WANDER

National ty

Corporate.

Agus

33

Civilian Spatus:

Unknown

Party Status:

Unknown

Williamy Statum:

35 Corporal

Flea:

NG Charge I; NG Charge II

Findings:

C Charge I: C Charge II

Evidence for Prosecution: Accused was drafted into the Jaffen SS on 19 August 1944 and sent to outcome Allach on 1 September 1944 as a guard on outside duty at the Bavarian Motor Works Plant and kitchen Geisinger. He participated in a prisoner much in April 1944 (obviously this date should be 1945). The prisoners were taken over by the Americans near Roomigudorf after four days (R 15; P-Ex 7). Accused testified that the evercoats were by the prisoners were too thin and that the prisoner much at the end of April may have included 3,000 prisoners and may have lasted three or four days (R 40). At Molfratshausen the prisoners were split up into groups, and his group included 1,000 prisoners and 50 to 60 guards (R 43).

Evidence for Defense: The accused testified that he was transferred from the Luftwaffe on account of sickness. He decied mistreating prisoners and stated that since his twentieth year he had been a member of the Community Christo Jeans and his slegan had been "Love thy neighbor as you love thyself." He was forced to duty in a concentration camp at outcomp Allach. There was one lacture a week against mistreatment of prisoners. He never received or carried out any criminal order (R 38). Prisoners told him that after air force personnel arrived at outcomp Allach, it had turned into a rest camp. They were fed as well as he is to as fed at Comp Dachau. Toward the end the food turned worse, but in the same way as for the guards. Also, as at Comp Dachau, Red Cross percels were received by prisoners (R 39). He was not sware that SS personnel took Red Cross parcels from prisoners (R 40). During the march from outcamp Allach, he stayed cheed and heard no shots (R 43).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The findings of guilty are warranted by the systemes. The sentence is not excessive.

Potitions: No Petitions for Review nor Petitions for Clemency were

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

7. WEENER MAX JOHANNES WASTRACH

Notionality: German

Ago: 41

Civilian Status: Unknown

Purty Status: SA

Military Status: SS Corporal

Plea: NG Charge I; NG Charge II

Findings: G Charge I; G Charge II

Sentence: 1 year, 11 months, commonding

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused served as a guard at outcomp Allach from 1 September 1944 to 28 April 1945. On 28 April 1945 he participated as a guard in a primorer murch or 1,000 prisoners with 100 guards from outcomp Allach to Koanigsdorf (R 16; P-Ex 8). He heard from prisoners that mistreatments occurred (R 45). Few prisoners were in bad condition at the start, but their condition was worse at the end of the prisoner march. Walking along the side of the column he heard shots falling in the woods (R 46). He did not ask about the shootings. There were orders to shoot in cases of escape (R 47).

Evidence for Defense: The accused testified in Court that he was archaferred from the air force on account of sickness, and denied mistrating prisoners. He stated he did not know what happened to prisoners who fall out on the prisoner surch, but thought the shots were warning acts to prevent escapes (R 46). He saw no prisoners shot but interpreted the order about shooting in case of escape to man inflicting a log injury (R 47).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The findings of guilty are warranted by

Petitions: No Petitions for Raviow nor Petitions for Clomency were filed.

Recommondation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

O. OTTO KASPAR TERER

Nationality: German

Ago: 42

Civilian Status: Unknown

Party Status: Unknown

Military Status: As Corporal

Plon: NG Charge I; NG Charge II

Findings: G Charge I; G Charge II

Sentence: 2 years, commencing 4 May 1945

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused served as a guard at outcamp Allach from 5 August 1944 to 23 August 1944; at outcamp Germoring from 23 August 1944 to 19 September 1944; at Camp Dachau from 19 September to 10 December 1944; and at outcamp Allach from 10 December 1944 to 26 April 1945. He participated as a guard in a prisoner transport of 300 to 400 prisoners from Camp Dachau to outcamp Debarlingen in October 1944 with 30 guards, and as a guard on a prisoner murch from outcamp Allach to Baiersdorf between 26-70 April 1945 with 500 prisoners and 50 guards (R 17; P-Ex 9).

Evidence for Defense: There was no evidence presented by the defense.

Sufficiency of Evidence: The findings of guilty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Fetitions: No Petitions for Review nor Petitions for Chemoney were Piled.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

9. JOSEF TERNER

Nationality: Rumanian

Ago: 41

Civilian Status: Unknown

Party Status: Unknown

Military Status: SS Private First Class

Plon:

Findings:

Sontonce:

NG Charge I; NG Charge II

G Charge I; G Charge II

1 year, 9 months, commencing 1 May 1945

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused served as a guard at Camp Dachau from 25 July 1943 to 20 August 1944, and at outcamp Aliach from 20 August 1944 to 27 April 1945. He participated as a guard in the transport of 400 prisoners to outcamp Aliach in February 1945 with 20 quards (R 17; P-Ex 10). Accused testified that he was a company guard and meet of the time was in the guard ring around the camp (R 49). To beard that prisoners were beaten inside the camp (R 50).

Evidence for Defense: The secused denied mistresting prisoners or having enything to do with them (R 49) and said that he was never allowed inside the comp. He did not see any prisoners mistrested at outcomp Allach (R 50).

cobolligaront of Garmany. The findings of guilty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Potitions: No Potitions for Review nor Potitions for Glomoncy were filed.

Recommondation: That the findings and sontence be approved.

10. CHRISTOPH WEYDERANN

This accused was neither served nor tried (R 2).

V. QUEDITIONS OF LAW:

persons of the accused and of the subject metter.

Application of the Parent Case: The Court was required to take commission of the decision rendered in the Parent Case, including the findings of the Court therein, that the wass atrocity operation was criminal in nature and that the participants therein, acting in pursuance of a common design, subjected persons to killings, beatings, tortures, etc., and was werenited in inferring that those shown to have participated knew of the criminal nature thereof (Letter, Headquarters,

of the convicted accused were shown to have participated in the mass strongly and the Court was varianted by the evidence adduced, either in the Parent Case or in this subsequent proceedings, in concluding as to them that they not only participated to a substantial degree but the nature and artent of their participation was such as to warrant the sentences imposed.

Examination of the entire record fails to disclose any error or omission which resulted in injustice to the secured.

VI. CONCIDSIONS:

- 1. It is recommended that the findings and sentences be approved.
- 2. Legal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attached hereto, should it must with approval.

/s/ William G. Craft WILLIAM G. CRAFT Attorney Post Trial Branch

Having examined the record of trial, I concur, this 24th day of Sept. 1947

/s/ C. E. Straight
C. E. STAIGHT
Licutement Colonel, Jack
Deputy Judge advocate
for Har Orimes