DEPUTY THEATER JUDGE ADVOCATE'S OFFICE 7708 WAR CRIMES GROUP EUROPEA, COMMAND

24 Merch 1947

UNITED STATES

VS

Yorl ADAMI, Adolf BALTES,

Franz BAYER, Josef BOERSCH,

Andreus DEP ER, Georg KARPE,

Anton SCHELMAUL, Alfred SCHOLZ

Cosc No. 000-50-2-1

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

. TRIAL DATA:

Tried jointly at Dachau, Gormany Date: 11 - 14 October 1946 Intermediate Military Government Court.

ACCUSED

DATA

SENTENCE

6 months confinement

from 14 October 1946.

ADAMI, Karl

Married, family
Roumanian national
ago 40
E.M. Roumanian Army
1930-31; also 1939-43
Drafted German Army
July 1943
SS Guard Dachau,
August 1943 to capitulation.

* 1200 H

BALTES, Adolf . .

Marital status unknown 5 months confinement Age 40 arom 14 October 1946 Drafted German Army 1941 SS Guard Dachan and outcamps, October 1944 to capitulation.

BAYER, Franz

Marital status unknown 6 months confinenced Ago 55 from 14 October 1945 Czechoslovakian national, Gorman descent SS Guard Dachau and outcumps, August 1944 to capitulation.

ACCUSED	DATA	PENKENCE
BOENSCH, Josef	Marital status unknown Ago 47 German national Drafted Waffen SS February 1941 SS Guard and Detail Leader Dachau, September 1944 to capitulation.	3 years confinement from 14 October 1946
DEPNER, Androns	Marrios, 3 children Age 43 Roumanian national Roumanian Army 1924-26 Drafted Gorman Army 1943 SS Guard Dachau, August 1943 to capitulation.	6 months confinement from 14 October 1940
KARPE, Georg	Married Age 42 German national Drafted Waffen SS January 1940 SS Guard at Praezifix Factory, Dachau, 1941-45.	2 years and 6 months confinement from 14 October 1946.
SCHERMAUL, Anton	Married, 3 children Age 42 German national Drafted German Army January 1944 SS Guard Dachau (Kempton) September 1944 to capitu- lation.	6 months confinement from 14 October 1940
SCHOLZ, Alfrod	Married Ago 41 Member of Nazi Party Drafted German Army November 1940 SS Guard Dachau March 1944 to capitulation Rank, Corporal.	2 years confinement from 14 October 1946
FIRST CHARGE: Vio	lation of the War.	
PARTICULARS: In t		eas Findings
Adolf BALTES, Fran		g G
BOENSCH, Andreas D	Will and lifted Biltes N	

reconnector alleged, and as indi- priduals aiding the operation of KARPE the Dachau Concentration Camp and SCHERWAUL	
rongfully participate in the sub-	
ocing unknown but aggregating many	
thousands who were then and there	
in the custody of the then German	
leich in exercise of belligorent	
control.	
からなるとうとこれにはないのとのというと	hereinafter alleged, and as indi- viduals aiding the operation of KARPE the Dachau Concentration Camp and SCHERMAUL

AMBOUND CHARGE: Violation of the Laws and Usages of War.

PARTICULARS: In that Karl ADAMI,	
adolf Baltes, Franz Bayen, Josef	
DOT COU LABOUR DEDNEY Coome	
BOERSCH, Andreas DEFNER, Goorg	E
KARPE, Anton SCHERMAUL, and Alfred	
SCHOLZ, acting in pursuance of	H
a common design to commit the	U
acts hereinafter alleged, and as	E
individuals aiding in the opera-	
tion of the Dachau Concentration	
tion of the puchda concentration	1
Samp, did, at or in the vicinity	0.01
of DACHAU, Germany, between	
about 1 January 1942 and about	
29 April 1945, wilfully, dolib-	
erately and wrongfully partici A-	
pate in the subjection of members	
pate in the sabjection of members	
of the armed forces of nations	
then at war with the then German	
Reigh, who were then and there	
surrendered and unarmed prisoners	
of war in the custody of the then	
German Reich, to cruelties and	
mistrontment, the exact names and	
sumbane of such moleculars of wer	
numbers of such peisoners of war	
being unknown but aggregating	
nony hundrods.	

	Pleas	Findings
ADAMI	NG	G
BALTES	NG	G
BAYER	NG	G
BOENSCH	NG	G
DEPNER	NG	G
KAI PE	NG	G
SCHERMAUL	NG	G
SOHOLE	NG	Q

Findings

G

G

G G

G

Pleas

NG

NG

NG

NG

NG

2. RECOMMENDATIONS: That the findings and sentences be approved, except as to accused SCHELMAUL, and that the finding and sentence as to accused SCHELMAUL be disapproved.

<u>For the Prosecution</u>: The Prosecution's case was based on extra judicial sworn testimony as hereinafter indicated. Exhibit P-1 is a certified copy of the charges, particulars, findings and sentences in the parent Dachau Concentration Camp case (U.S. vs Woiss et al 000-50-2, March 1946; E 7). Exhibits P-2 to P-9, inclusive, consist of extra judicial testimony of each of the accused.

Accused SCHOLZ was an SS guard at Camp Dachau from December 1944 to the liberation of the camp with the rank of corporal (R 20). He performed tower and escent guard duty at the main camp (R 22). He later served as tower guard at the Praezifix Factory at Camp Dachau which made aircraft parts. He was a guard on the prisoner march from Camp Dachau to Welfratshausen. The Praezifix column in the march was made up of 116 Russians and Germans (P-9). He was on the Praezifix detail at the time a hanging took place (R 42).

Accused NAMPE was an SS guard at Camp Dachau from February 1941 to the capitulation. He was promoted to sorgeant in May 1944. He served as a guard on the Praezifix detail from March 1943 until/ 1944, assisting the detail leader and taking his place when he was away (R 30). He worked in various other offices prior to his service on the Praezifix detail. He was familiar with all workings of the camp (P-7).

Accused ADAMI was drafted into the Waffen SS in August 1943 and sent to the SS clothing factory at Comp Dachau four days later. He worked every second week with prisoners on the night shift until he became sick in March 1944. From that time to the liberation of the camp he worked on the day shifts. Workers in the clothing factory were supervised by civilian technicians while ADAMI and one other SS treeper acted as guards (P-2).

Accused BOENSCH was drafted into the Waffen SS February 1941. He served in a field unit until September 1944 when he was nade a guard at Camp Dachau and served in this capacity until the capitulation. He had charge of various small details around the

ACCUSED DEFREN was drafted into the SS in August 1943 and transferred to Camp Dachau to the rabbit farm where there were 82 prisoners working under him (P-6). He held the rank of T/Sgt (R 64) until the capitulation in April 1945 (R 65).

Accused BALTES was a tower guard and a work-detail guard in the SS at Allach, an out-camp, from early in September to the middle of November 1944. Then with the same duties he served at Camp Dachau until 4 March 1945 and finally at the Augsburg sub-camp until the avacuation in April 1945. He stated that the food was bad in all three camps and the prisoners were undernourished. The prisoners at Allack working under JAROLIN (U.S. vs Weiss et al, 000-50-2) were compelled to work 12 hours a day. He saw the allach deputy camp leader best a prisoner. A typhoid fever epidemic broke out at Dachau in the winter of 1944 and many prisoners died. He saw many corpses go to the crematory. BALTES stated that Augsburg was a very bad camp and prisoners were in such poor health that many were too weak to walk from their work and had to be carried. They were kept at work by blows from capos. BALTES was a guard on the prisoner march from Augsburg out-camp southward on 23 April 1945 and was captured by the advancing Americans three days later (F-3).

Accused BAYEL entered the Waffen SS in Latober 1944 and was immediately assigned guard duty at out-camp Kaufering No. VII. There were about 2000 male and fema; e inmates of various nationalities in this camp. They were badly fed and clothed and lived under very un sanitary conditions. Johannes BICHELSDONFER was commandant or this camp where beatings were not only permitted but EICHELSDONFER beat the prisoners himself (U.S. vs Weiss et al, 000-50-2, R 1764). BAYER performed the same duties at out-camp Kaufering No. XI where three to four thousand male and female prisoners were incarcorated under conditions similar to those at out-camp Kaufering No. VII. BAYER was prisoners beaten at both camps by capos/ accused was a guard on the prisoner march from out-camp Kaufering No. XI, which departed toward Wolfratshausen at the end of April 1945 (P-4).

and was transferred to out-camp Kempton where he served as both a tower guard and on essort guard until the capitulation. There were about 400 prisoners at this camp working for the Bavarian Motor Works (P-8).

For the Defense. Accused SCHOLZ testified that when he arrived at Camp Dachau he knew nothing of concentration camps (R 21). He never had trouble with prisoners and never reported any of them for punishment (R 22). He claimed that he was transferred to Camp Dachau and to the 55 without his consent. His assignment was made arbitrarily by his superiors (R 23, 24). He was recalled to the stand by the Defense and stated that he knew nothing about the hangings in 1944 referred to in the testimony of the defense witnesses Henrik FUESER (R 42), Franz KAPSBERGEF (R 48), and Ferdinand KAPPER (R 49), and that he, SCHOLZ, was in the hospital at the time. He identified the accused KARPE, whom he relieved on the Praezifix detail (R 25). He testified that KARPE was kind to the prisoners and treated them humanely (R 25). He also testified that KARPE'S duties were restricted because of extremely bad vision (R 25). On the prisoner march from Camp Dacheu to Wolfratshausen, SCHOLZ was a guard and had two wagons with food for the prischers. No losses occurred in his column (P-9).

Accused KAHPE was drafted into the Waifen SS in January 1940 and was discharged in October 1940 because of faulty vision. After reinduction in February 1941 he tried to get a discharge but was unsuccessful. He denied knowing anything about the organization or responsibility for the care of inmates at Camp Dachau (R 30). He never had any trouble with prisoners nor did he have occasion to report them for infractions of the rules (R 30). He knew accused LONOLZ in the camp and testified that SCHOLZ always treated the reconers kindly and numanely (R 32). He saw prisoners beaten only hade in the camp and never say anyone shot or hanged at Camp Dachau 334).

The defense witness, Henrik FUESER, was interned in Camp
Dachau by the Gestapo in 1942 and remained until the liberation (R
36, 37). He knew accused KARPE in the camp, described KARPE'S duties
at the Praezifix and testified that KARPE acted in a very humane manner toward the inmates (R 38). In 1944 FUESER witnessed one hanging in the Praezifix. KARPE, however, had been transferred from
Praezifix before the hanging (R 42). On cross-examination, FUESER
stated that KARPE had given him cigarettes and bread (R 41).

Another defense witness, Franz KAPLBERGER, a German national and former inmate at Camp Dachau from 1940 to 1945, testified that was on the Praezifix detail from 1941 to 1945 (R 43). While on this detail, he became acquainted with accused KARPE who acted very humanely toward the prisoners (R 45) and had relaxed the discipline of the camp as much as he could (R 45, 46). This witness also knew SCHOLZ on the Praezifix detail and described him as a kind and humane guard (47).

The defense witness, Ferdinand KAPPER, a German national and resident of Dachau, was an inmate in the camp from 1938 to 1944 (R 50). He knew the accused KARPE in the camp and described him as being extremely humans and kindly (R 51). The witness was acquainted with accused SCHOLZ whom he described as being very strict but never guilty of harshness or mistreatment (R 53).

Accused ADAMI testified and denied any knowledge of concuntration camp organization (R 57). At Camp Dachau he was detailed to the tailor shop and worked at a machine with the inmates from August. At March 1944. He was hospitalized for tuberculosis from that time until October 1944 when he returned to work in the same shop of the civilian labor(R 58). He got along very well with the inmates working under his supervision. On cross-examination, he stated that his records were taken away from him, making it impossible for the prove any of his statements relative to his army service (R .61). He never saw prisoners mistreated and did not mistreat any making (P-2).

Defense witness, Franz MRAAS, testified that he was interned at Camp Dachau in May 1943 because of political activity (R 91), that he is now detained in the War Crimes Enclosure and that he was denounced by former inmates (R 92). He was a cape in a clothing factory after August 1943. He knew and worked with the accused ADAMI in the factory and states that for three or four months ADAMI worked on the night shift instructing the inmates in the operation of the machines (R 92). During this time ADAMI did not perform any guard outy. The witness described the popularity of ADAMI with the inmates and observed that ADAMI treated those under him humanely and correctly (R 94).

Another defense witness, Simon HONHEISER, a former inmate of Camp Dachau for two years and now a tailor employed in the village of Dachau (R 95, 96), described in detail an act of kindness accused ADAMI had once done him when he fell ill at his machine in the clothing shop (R 97,98).

Defense witness, Rudolf DREIER, a German national and former inmate of Camp Dachau from 1941 to 1945, was incorcerated there upon conviction for forgery in 1938 (R 105). While an inmate, he became acquainted with accused DEPNER and ADAMI. He testified as to DEPNER'S irlandly and cooperative attitude toward the prisoners and cited examples (R 106). He also described ADAMI'S reputation among the inmates as being good (R 107). He saw beatings in camp but never by DEPNER or ADAMI, or in their presence (R 108).

Accused DEFNER tostified to the effect that he never had any trouble with inmates while at Camp Dachau (R 65) and that he was able to provide them with food above their regular ration (R 66). On cross-examination, he stated that he exercised no command functions over the members of the rabbit farm detail but that he acted as a technical supervisory capacity (R 66). No guards were needed used as the farm was a fenced-in enclosure located inside the equal camp and the detail was marched over each morning directly from their quarters (R 67). He never saw any inmates shot, hanged,

Defense witness, Doctor Paul HUSAREK, now a resident of Dachau and an investigator employed by Military Government, was an inmate at Camp Dachau from 1941 to 1945. He knew DEPNER in the concentration camp and often visited a close friend who worked on the rabbit farm, contrary to camp regulations and with DEPNER'S consent. He described DEPNER'S easy discipline and how DEPNER had supplemented the meager rations of members of the rabbit farm detail (K 71). Se described in detail how DEPNER had been pointed out as a war criminal and claimed it was a gross mistake (R 72). HUSAREK was also acquainted with the accused ADAMI and stated he had the reputation of treating prisoners humanely (R 73).

Acquised BAYER testified to the effect that he was drafted into the S5 and sent to Camp Dachau for guard duty without his consent (R 89). He had no trouble with prisoners during his tour of duty at out-camps Kaufering Nos. VII and XI, and never struck a prisoner or reported one for punishment (R 90). He once saw OT men mistreating a prisoner but he stopped it immediately (P-4, par. 3). Capos who heat prisoners at out-camps Kaufering Nos VII and XI were not under its command (P-4, par. 5).

of concentration camps, how the inmates were so ted or who was responsible for their welfare after their incarceration (R 101). Whis at Kempton out-camp he never had any trouble with the prisoners and never abused them (R 102).

- 4. JUNISDICTION: The Court was legally constituted and had jurisdiction of the persons of the accused and of the offenses.
- r. <u>COMMENTS</u>: Examination of the entire record fails to disclose any rrors or emissions which resulted in injustice to the accused. All for the accused were, by their own admissions, S5 guards at Camp Dalau or its out-camps for considerable periods of time between the accused (P-2 to P-9, inclusive).

The Court was required to take cognizance of the decision render ed in the parent Dachau Concentration Camp case, including the findings of the Court therein that the mass atrocity was criminal in nature and that the participants therein, acting in pursuance of a common design, subjected persons to killings, bentings, tortures, stc., and was warranted in inferring that those shown to have partiipated knew of the criminal nature thereof (Letter, Headquarters, United States Forces, European Theater, file AG 000.5 JAG-AGO, subject: "Trial of War Crimes Cases," 14 October 1946, letters superseded thereby, and U.S. vs Weiss et al, 000-50-2, March 1946). With the exception of the accused SCHERMAUL, all accused were shown to have participated in the mass atrocity and the Court was warranted, by the evidence adduced, either in the parent Dachau case or in this subsequent proceeding, in concluding as to them that they not only participated to a substantial degree but that the nature and extent of their participation was such as to warrant the sentence imposed. Concerning accused SCHERMAUL, it was shown that he participated and the nature of his duties was also satisfactorily proved. However, a search of the record in the parent case and the record in this subsequen proceeding reveals no evidence as to atrocities committed at the Kamp on out-camp. It is true that it was shown that 400 slave laborers were worked there but, if they were not otherwise mi treated and tortured, it is believed that SCHERMAUL'S particip tion in this mass atrocity was too remote to form a proper basis of sen tencing by the Court. By virtue of the absence of evidence as to what occurred at the Kempton out-camp, he is not shown to have paricipated to a substantial degree and there is inadequate evidence as to the nature and extent of his participation to permit of arriving at an appropriate sentence. It could be contended with conderable merit that the Court, with propriety, could have inferred hat the inmates of the Kempton out-camp were subjected to cruelties nd torture substantially like those clearly proven to have been

oflighted at the parent camp

and the other out-camps. If that view were adopted, it restricted that the severely connected with this or other mass atrocities might be severely punished without a proper gauge to measure the magnitude of their orimes.

6. <u>CLEMENCY</u>: There are no Petitions for Review. Consideration was given to letter from accused Josef BOENSCH dated 3 January 1947. No clemency is recommended.

V. CONCLUSIONS:

- a. It is recommended that all the sentences, except the on as to accused SCHERMAUL, be approved.
- b. It is recommended that the sentence as to accused SCHE:
- c. Legal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result

t/ Louis T. TISCHER, t/ LOUIE T. TISCHER, Attorney, Post Trial Section.

Having extined the record of trial, I consur.

C. E. STRAIGHT, Colonel, JAND, Theater Judge Advocate for War Srimes.