# 7708 WAR CRIMES GROUP EUROPEAN COMMAND APO 207-1

4 August 1947

UNITED STATES )	
v	Case No. 000-Mauthausen-20
Eigen Hermann Moker	

## REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

1. THIAL DATA: The recused was tried at Dachau, Germany, on 24 April 1947, before 2 General Military Government Court.

# II. CH . RGES AND P . RTICUL . RS:

CHARGE I: Violation of the Laws and Usages of War.

P.RFICULARS: In that Eugen Hormann NOKY, a German national, did, at or in the vicinity of Peggau, Austria, in or about April 1945, wrongfully encourage, aid, abet and participate in the killing of four non-German nationals, inmates of Peggau Concentration Camp, who were then in the custody of the then German Reich, the names of such persons being unknown.

CH.PGFII: Violation of the Laws and Usages of War.

did, at or in the vicinity of Peggau, Austria, in or about April 1945, wrongfully encourage, aid, abet and participate in the killing of a non-German national, an immate of Peggau Concentration Camp, who was then in the custody of the then German Roich, the name of such person being unknown.

III. DOMAIN OF EVIDENCE: with the advance of the Russian armies in Austria in the spring of 1945, an evacuation of prisoners from Camp Peggau, Austria, an outcomp of Mauthausen Concentration Camp, was undertaken during 2-3 April 1945. As an incident of this operation, order, were issued that all sick inmates who were unfit for transport were to be shot. Accused NOKY killed four non-German nationals in order to fulfill the commands given to him and inother without any instruction from his superior, with the possible exception that a very broad and general instruction may have been considered applicable. The fifth killing was not in the presence of his superior.

### IV. EVIDENCE AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

#### EUGEN HERILMN NOKY

Nationality:

German

4601

43

Civilian Status:

Motor car painter

Party Status:

NSDAP, Allgemeine SS

Military Status:

waffen SS, Technical Sergeant

Pleus

NG

Findings:

G

Sentence:

Death by hanging

Evidence for Prosecution: Using his Austrian service pistol (R 10, 46). NORY, an oberscharfuhrer in Camp Peggau, Austria. (P-Rr 2. 24; R 6, 16) shot four non-German, presumably Russian, inmates (P-Ex 2, 2A; R 6, 9, 45, 49) in the neck (F-Ex 2, 2A; R 6, 46) from a distance of only a few centimeters (P-Ex 2, 2A; R 6); killing them (R 9, 10) in a branch tunnel during the night or early corning of 2-3 April 1945 (R 27, 45) in response to orders from his immediate superior. Obersturmfahrer Miroff (P-Ex 2, 2A; R 6, 11, 45, 46, 48). Miroff was not his disciplinary superior nor was his disciplinary superior present at the camp at the time of the incidents covered by the charges (R 12, 48). These inmates were several among others selected from the infirmary pitients either by the iccused (R 9, 21, 25, 26) or by Miroff (R 44) or by the Polish medic and infirmary cape (R 46) as being incapable of walking or unfit for transport (P-Ec 2. 21; R 6, pg 44). No objection was made by NOKY to the performance of this task (R 13. 14. 28).

Subsequent to these killings. NORV entered the infirmary in response to the pleas of the capes and camp eldest (P-Ex 2, 2A; R 6, 47) to get rid of the chief idiot of the camp; there he shot and killed the petty-thieving. "completery insens" Polish innate. "Millionendieb" (thief of millions) (P-Ex 2, 2A; R 6, 9, 15, 16, 35, 47). The accused was not specifically directed to kill this victim nor was

Miroff, his only superior in the camp, present. The accused testified that at first he did not respond to their "begging" but told
them they could do as they pleased as to:killing this fifth victim.
However, he finally complied with their re-quests by performing the
killing himself (R 46-48).

Early next morning holes were dug (R 22, 27) and the bodies were buried (R 25, 27). These inmates whose cards had been marked for death (R 26) and who were taken from the infirmary by the accused were never seen again (R 28).

Evidence for Defense: NOKY made a sworn pre-trial statement in which he ad its killing four non-German nationals, prisoners he thought to be Russians, with neck shots and the notorious "Millionendieb" with one shot (P-Ex 2, 2A; R 6). He denied selecting and marking these immates for death (R 44, 45, 46, 47). But he sharply insisted that he acted in this fashion at the direction of his immediate superior. Miroff (P-Ex 2, 2A; R 6, 44, 45, 48), who admitted ordering the accused to shoot four Russian prisoners in the tunnel (R 11) and his own inability to punish the accused for a failure to obey his orders, a fact known to the non-commissioned officers in Hroff's command (R 13).

Sufficiency of Evidence: Concerning the evidence offered by the necessed in support of superior orders, no effort was made to show, as to the fifth killing, that the accused had received a specific direction to kill this victim. His own testinony indicates he did not consider any general orders as requiring the killing. Moreover, the superior was not present. Thus, it appears that no order had been received and in my event he was not shown to have acted under immediate compulsion to my degree. The accused failed to meet the burden of proof required by pertinent authorities discussed in Section V, post.

The findings of guilty are warrented by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive. Petitions: A Fetition for Review, dated 1 May 1947, was filed by Chief Defense Counsel. Lieutenant John H. Pohlman. Five Petitions for Clemency were filed, viz.; by Milli Klein, accused's brother-in-law, 11 May 1947; Lina Noky, accused's wife, 11 May 1947; August Noky, accused's brother, 5 May 1947; Otto Pfisterer, undated; and Kaspar adler, et al., 5 May 1947.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

#### V. QUES IONS OF Laws

Superior Orders: Accused NOKY, as shown in Section IV, paragraph 2, supra, sought to justify his action by offering evidence to show that he was acting in compliance with "superior orders." Compliance with superior orders does not constitute a defense to the charge of having committed a war crime (Trial of Henry Wirz, 40th Congress, 2nd Sess., House of Representatives, Ex. Doc. No. 23, page 812; Vol. II. Sixth Edition, Oppenheim, "International Law, four graph 253, page 453; Llandovery Castle Case, 16 American Journal of International Law, page 708; United States v. Dominikus Thomas, December 1945; and United States v. alfone Klein, et al., (Hadamar Murder Factory Case), February 1946). This rule is followed in Anglo-American jurisprudence (Mitchell v. Harmony, 13 How, 115, and "Manual for Courts-Martial, U.S. Army."

Compliance with superior orders may, under certain circumstances, be considered in mitigation of punishment. However, an accused who seeks relief on such grounds assumes the burden of establishing (a) that he received an order from a superior directing that he commit the wrongful act. (b) that he did not know, or as a reasonably prudent person, would not have known that the act which he was directed to perform was illegal or contrary to universally accepted standards of human conduct, and (c) that he acted, at least to some extent, under immediate compulsion. Having satisfactorily established these elements, the amount to which his sentence should be mitigated depends upon the

(See London Agreement of 8 August 1945, Concerning Prosection and Funishment of Major war Criminals of the European axis; FM 27-10, war Dep rtment, U.S. army, "Fules of Land Marfare," paragraph 345-1. Charge No. 1, 15 November 1944; Oppenheim, "International Law," supra, and Llandovery Castle Case cited therein; "Manual for Courts-Martial," supra; "Report to the President of United States," 7 June 1945, by Mr. Justice Jackson, U.S. Chief Counsel for the Prosecution of Axis Criminality; Extract from Goebbels' "The Air Terror of Our Encomies," found in footnote, page 53. "Military Occupation and the Rule of the Law," by Ernst Frienkel; and opinions of the Deputy Theater Judge Meror of 1945, U.S. V. Dominikus Thomas, December 1945, and U.S. V. Gerd Beck and Otto Leinreich, December 1946).

Examination of the entire record fails to disclose any error or omission which resulted in injustice to the accused.

## VI. CONCLUSIONS:

- 1. It is recommended that the findings and the sentence be approved.
- 2. Legal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attached hereto, should it mest with approval.

/s/ Richard C. Hagan RICHARD C. H.GAN Major, JAGD Attorney Post Trial Branch

Having examined the record of trial, I concur.

/s/ C.E. Straight
/t/ C.E. Straight
Lieutenant Colonel, JAGD
Deputy Judge Advocate
for war Crimes