7708 WAR CRIMES GROUP TUROPHAN COMMAND APO 178

23 June 1947

UNITED STATES)	
v.	Oase No. 12.779
Gottlieb WRUR et al.	

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

T. TWIST D.W. The secured were tried at Duchau, Germany, during the period 21-25 april 1947, before a General Military Government Court.

II. OF ROLL AND PARTICULARS:

CHARGE: Violation of the Laws of War.

Particulars: In that Gottlieb WHRIVE Max MATTHIS, Friedrich BTMM, Frite MUDIE, Reinrich BIRMSRUM, Jonef RISELT, and arthur FALLER, German nationals, did, at or near SCHOLLACH, Germany, on or about 21 July 1944, wilfully, deliberately and wrongfully encourage, aid, abot and participate in the killing of five members of the United States army, believed to be 2nd Lt. Charles E. Woold, Mislat, Frank I. MISLAY, S/Sgt. Meredith M. MISLA, Jr., let Lt. Leonard A. MORDBLAU, and 2nd Lt. Beyard T. RADOMSKI, who were then unarmed, surrendered prisoners of war in the custody of the then German Reich.

parachuted from their disabled four motored plane and came to earth safely in the vicinity of Urach and Schollach, Germany. In Urach, three of the flyers were taken existences and incorporated in a building occupied by Catholic Sisters in which the local Gendarsonic maintained a cost. The other two were taken into custody and placed under mard in a building which served as both a city hall and cohool house in Schollach. The group of three flyers, while being marched from Urach to Schollach, were shot and killed by WIRIME, MATTIES and Prits Remor. The other two flyers were led from the city hall in Schollach to woods locally known as Winterwald and there shot and

killed by BIRNEREITR and EISELE with FALLER aiding and abetting therein.

IV. EVIDENCE AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

I. GOTTLIEB WERMER

Fationality: German

Age: 60 -

Civilian Status: Tax inmeeter

Party Status: MSDAP, Organization leader

Military Status: None

Plon: FC

Findings:

Sentence: Death by hanging

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused, a civilian tax inspector from Moustada, Germany, was instructed by the Freisleiter Benedikt Zuner on 21 July 1944 to go to Scholluch to kill certain american Tlyers who were known to have parachuted down in this area (R 103: P-x 19 pp 1, 2). Upon his arrival in Schollach, the accused, after some dolay, together with two others went to a woods through which the three flyers were being brought from Urach (R 101; P-Ex 17 p 3). When the flyers approached, accused ordered the three rural guards off to the left and forthwith fired three shots from his pistel, each one striking a flyer (8 40, 41, 52, 75). It appears that MATTHES and Fritz Euner may also have fired almost samultaneously (R 104: Par 20A) Following the initial shooting and at the suggestion of the accused, one of the two other members of his party shot two of the flyers as they lay on the ground (R 41, 42). All the flyers died immediately (R 53, 76). The accused, by his extrajudicial sworn testimony, stated that he became very excited and fired without taking aim in the direction of the flyers (R 104: P-Ex 20a).

Evidence for Defence: The accused stated in his extrajudicial awarn testimony, "I had to obey the orders from the Landrat first and then from the Freieleiter, otherwise, the Freieleiter would have

shown by his prior extrajudicial sworn testimony, he merely asked the Kreisleiter whether higher authority had approved the decision to shoot the flyers, to which an affirmative answer was received (R 104; P-IX 20A).

Sufficiency of Evidence: There is no showing that the accused attempted to or desired to avoid the shooting assignment. Thus, he failed to meet the burden of proof required by pertinent authorities discussed in Section V. post.

The findings of guilty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Politions: A Petition for Review was filed by German defense counsel, Dr. Buerger, 26 April 1947. Petitions for Clemency were filed by Wilhelm Roschach, 30 April 1947, and by accused's wife, Lina Worner, 17 May 1947.

Rocommondation: That the findings and contence be sporoved.

2. MAK MATTHES

Wationality: German

Age: 53

Civilian Status: Tax Secretary

Party Status: NSDAP, SA, Moster Set.

Military Status: Mone

Float

Findings: 6

Sentance: Life im prisonment

Evidence for Prosecution: The accused accommanded WERTER * on Noustable to Schollach (R 102; P.-Rx 18 p 2). He was with WERTER and Frite Kener when WERTER and Euner shot the three american flyers (R 102; P.-Rx 18 p 3). accused WERTER stated in his first extra-judicial sworn testimony that accused also fired at the flyers (R 104; P.-Rx 20A). after the shooting the three American flyers were left lying dead in the woods (R 102; P.-Rx 18 p 3). The accused admitted that he has a purpose of the mission, i.e., that the

flyers were to be shot and that he went to the scene armed with a pistol specially issued for that purpose (R 102; P-Ex 18 p 3). That he was armed and accompanied the shooting party knowing the objective is corroborated by accused WERMER and BIRTHREETER (R 103, 116; P-Exs 19 p 2, 24 p 6).

Evidence for Defense: The accused stated in his extrajudicial sworn testimony that he did not fire any shots (R 102; P-Ex 18 p3). Ho admitted being fully armed and being present during the shooting, stating that WIRMER and Funer did all the shooting (R 102; P-Ex 18 pp 3, 4). Accused WERMER stated in his second extrajudicial sworn testimony that he did not know whether this accused fired any shots (R 103; P Ix 19 p 3). In his extrajudicial sworn testimony the accused stated, "In was told to me if we did not want to obey craces, we would have to carry the responsibility ourselves" (R 102; P-Ex 18 p3).

Sufficiency of Evidence: It appears that the accused's desire to cooperate with other Party officials was more important than other considerations, and that he willingly participated. Consequently, it is apparent that he did not act under immediate compulsion. Therefore, concerning sumerior orders, the accused failed to meet the burden of proof required by pertinent aumorrities discussed in Section V, post. It appears by the sentence imposed that the Court may have fully considered the absence of adequate proof that the accused fired any of the fatal shots.

The findings of guilty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Petitions: a Petition for Review was filed by German defens counsel, Dr. Buerger, 26 April 1947. No Petitions for Clemency were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

3. FRIEDRICH HEIM

This accused was acquitted (R 223).

4. FRITZ EUTE

This accurate a new tried incomments to apprechant to

him were unsuccessful (R 4).

5. HEINRICH BIRNBREITER

Nationality: German

Age: 33

Civilian Status: Kreispersonalamtsleiter

Party Status: MSDAP, file leader

Military Status: None

Plon: NG

Tindings:

Sontence: Death by hanging

Evidence for Presecution: The accused was one of the men selected by the Kreisleiter for the detail to go to Schollach to kill the American flyers (R 116; P.Ex 24 p 4). According to prior arranged plans, this accused and accused BISME at tioned themselves in the woods near Schollach where two cantured american flyers were brought by accused Faller (R 116; P.Ex 24 pp 12, 13). In his extrajudicial sworn testimony the accused stated, "I asked him (the american flyer) if he was in Munich. I asked him if he had any weapons on him. I ordered him to held up his hands. I searched him and found a knife on him. I gave the sign to the man to lower his hards and after that I shot him from a distance of about two or three meters" (R 116; P.Ex 24 pp 13). The accused also stated therein that accused BISME gave the flyer a mercy shot and further that he and BISME then waited for Faller to fotch the second flyer, at his direction, who was shot upon his arrival by the accused and BISME (R 116; P.Ex 24 pp 13.15).

Evidence for Defense: In his extrajudicial sworn testimony car accused stated that he had been ordered to kill the flyers by the Kreisleiter and had tried to refuse the orders but was told he would be responsible "with his head" (R 116; P. x 24 pp 4, 8).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The killings were accomplished at a distant point with no superior present. The Sourt might well have concluded that the accused's desire to cooperate with and please his

superior and Party officials was greater than other considerations; that he did not unwillingly participate in the killings; that he did not act under immediate compulsion; and that, concerning superior orders, the accused failed to meet the burden of proof required by portinent authorities discussed in Section V, post.

The findings of guilty are warranted by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Potitions: A Potition for Review was filed by German defense counsel, Dr. Buerger, 26 April 1947. Fo Potitions for Clemency were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

6. LOSME WISHING

Walt onality: German

Age! 46

Civilian Status: Supervisor of factory

Tarty Status: NSDAP, SA

Military Status: Nonc

Fleat NG

Find ings: 6

Sentence: Life imprisonment

Evidence for Promoution: The accused admitted in his extrajudicial sworn testimony that he was present with the accused BIRM.

BRITER in the woods near Schollach and administered mercy shote to
the two american flyers (R 117; P-Ex 25 n 8). This maniesion was
substantiated by accused BIRMBHRITER, and accused FALLER was certain
that this accused shot at least one of the flyers (R 115, 116; F Ex 25
pr V. 8, P-Ex 24 pp 13, 15). The accused admitted firing his pistol
invo the heads of the two flyers (R 117; P-Ex 25 p 8).

Evidence for Defense: In his extrajudicial sworn testimony the accused stated that he only complied with orders given to him by the Kreislatter in this language, "I had to order you here to my office of duty. There is a very parachuted in the vicinity of Schollach

of people are dead and I give you the order from the Landrat to go out and conture these flyers and kill them. For this work others are being called (R 117; Par 25 p 2).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The killings were accomplished at a distant point from the Kreisleiter who concrently gave the order to shoot the flyers. The accused administered the mercy shots to the two victims without being told to do so by his co-accused who shot the flyers first. The Court might well have concluded that the accused's desire to compare with and please his emerier and other Party officials who were not present was greater than other considerations; that he did not unwillingly participate in the killings; that he did not and undiate compulsion; and that, emerging superior orders, the accused failed to meet the burden of proof required by participant authorities discussed in Section V, post.

The findings of guilty are warrented by the evidence. The sentence is not excessive.

Potitions A Potition for Review was filed by Gorman defense counsel, Dr. Buercer, 25 April 1947. No Patitions for Clemensy were filed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

7. ARTHUR FALLER

Kationality:

German

Ago:

53

Civilian Status:

Roservo Condueno

Party Status:

Mone

Military Status:

Mone

Ploat

MG

Findings:

Q.

Sentonce:

20 years imprisonment from 5 July 1946

Indicance for Prosecution: The assueed esserted the two american flyers held in Schollach to a tryst with the accused BIRNBREITR and

EISELE in the woods near Schollach where the two flyers were shot and killed (R 115-117; P-Ex 23 pp 6-8, P-Ex 24 pp 13, 14, P-Ex 25 pp 7-9). The accused escented the flyers, one at a time, about forty-five minutes apart, to the woods (R 111, 117; P. Ex 25 p 8). He was present throughout the killing of the first airman and heard and saw everything (R 115; P-Ex 23 pp 7, 8). Thereafter, and knowing what had happened to the first American flyer, the accused fetched the second flyer to his death (R 115; P-Ex 23 p 8).

Evidence for Defense: In his extrajudicial sworn testimony the accused stated that he had been ordered by the Kreisleiter to escert the flyers separately along the road to where he would be mot by BINIDERETER and BISTLE who would then transport the flyers further on (2 115; P.Ex 23 p 5). He had been startled when the first American had been slain by BINIDERETER and BISTLE (R 115; P.Ex 23 p 7).

Eufficiency of Evidence: As to the killing of the second flyer, there can be no doubt but what the accused was aware of the fact that the flyer was to be killed. According to the extrajudicial sworn testimony of the accused he was never ord red to actually participate in the Malling but was merely to transport the flyers to a point in the woods where he was to deliver them to other accused. However, after the first flyer was killed in front of him he willingly fetched the second flyer with knowledge that he too would be killed in the same manner. The killings were accomplished at a disjunt point with no superior present. The Court might well have concluded that the accused a desire to cooperate with and please superiors was greater than other considerations; that he did not unwillingly participa in the kallings; that he did not act under immediate compulsion; and their, concerning superior orders, the accused failed to meet the burden of proof required by pertinent authorities discussed in Section V. post.

The findings of quilty are warranted by the evidence. The sen-

Petitions: A Petition for Review was filed by German defense counsel, Dr. Buerger, 26 April 1947. The following Petitions for Clomency were filed: By the mayor and municipal council of Lenz-kirch, Mayor Schneider, Councilmen Albracht Hermann, Otto Keller, Lambert Waldvogel, Johannes Luetzner and Karl Gunter, 29 April 1947; by Paster Keller, 28 April 1947; by a friend, Dr. Med. A. Hummel, 1 May 1947; by a friend, Josef Pelka, 30 April 1947; by seventy-five townsmen of Lenzkirch, 29 April 1947. Certificates of character were also filed by Paster Keller and indersed by Archbishop Conrad dated 24 July 1946 and a certificate of character by Dr. Mayor dated 12 April 1946.

Reorganistion: That the findings and sentence be approved.

V. CUIST YOUR OF LAW.

Jurisdiction. It is clear that the Court had jurisdiction of the persons of the accused and of the subject matter.

Superior Orders: As shown in Section IV, supra, all the convicted accused sought to justify their actions to offering evidence to show that they were acting in compliance with "superior orders". Compliance with superior orders does not constitute a defense to the charge of heving committed a war crime (Trial of Henry Wirz, 40th Congress, 2nd Sess., House of Representatives, Ex. Doc. Fo. 23, page 812; Vol. II Sixth Edition, Oppenheim, "International Law", paragraph 253, page 453; Liandovery Castle Case, 16 American Journal of International Law, page 708; United States v. Dominikus Thomas, December 1945; and United States v. Alfons Klein, et al. (Sadamar Murder Factory Case), February 1946). This rule is followed in Angle-American jurisprudence (Mitchell v. Harmony, 13 How, 115, and "Manual for Joures Martial, U.S. army", 1928; paragraph 148).

Compliance with sumerior orders may, under certain circumstances, be considered in mitiration of numishment. However, an accused who seeks relief on such grounds assumes the burden of establishing

(a) that he received an order from a superior directing that he commit the wrongful act. (b) that he did not know or, as a reasonably prudent person, would not have known that the act which he was directed to perform was illegal or contrary to universally accepted standards of human conduct, and (c) that he acted, at least to some extent, under immediate compulsion. Having satisfactorily established these elements, the amount to which his sentence should be mitigated depends upon the character and extent of the immediate compulsion under which he acted. (See London Agreement of 8 August 1945. Concerning Prosecution and Punishment of Major War Criminals of the European Axis; FM 27-10. War Department, U.S. Army, "Rules of Land Warfare", paragraph 345.1, Change No. 1, 15 November 1944; Opvenheim "International Law", supra, and the blandovery Castle Caso cited therein: "Manual for Courts-Martial", supra, "Report to the Precident of United States", 7 June 1945, by Mr. Justice Jackson, U.S. Other Counsel for the Prosecution of Axis Criminality; Extract from Goobbels! "The Air Terror Of Our Enemies", found in footnote, page 53, "Miritary Occumation and the Bule of the Law", by Brast Frankel; and opinions of the Doputy Theat a Judge Advocate for War Crimes in U.S. v. albert Bury and Wilhelm Mofuer, September 1945. U.S. v. Dominikus Thomas, December 1945, and U.S. v. Gord Beck and Otto Weinreich, December 1946) .

Exemination of the entire record fails to disclose any error or omission which resulted in injustice to the accused,

VI. COMCLUSIONS:

- 1. It is recommended that the findings and the sentences be approved.
- 2. Negal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attached hereto, should it meet with approval.

/s/ abrohum 3. Dernstein ABRAHAM S. BYOMSTEIN Attorney Post Trial Branch AG 383 JAG

APO 757 21 Nov 1947

SUBJECT: Execution of Sentence in the Case of the United States vs. Gottlieb WHRNER, et al. (Case No. 12-779)

TO : Commanding General First Military District APC 1. U.S. army

Reference is made to letter, Ho. USPTT, file AG 383 JAGaGO, subject: "Designation of Prisons for War Criminals," 26
Tebruary 1947 and to the inclosed copies of the Order on Review in
the above entitled case as to accused ___arthur TallTR______.

Upon completed and returned to the Deputy Judge advocato for War Urimos, 7708 War Grimes Group, APC 407, U.S. army.

BY COMMAND OF GENERAL CLAY:

/s/ am. T. Bergin Wm. E. BURGIN Extendior General, USA adjubilit Con rai

1 Incl: 1 Form No. 13 (in dum)

Frankfurt 7175

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

The sentence covered by the above described Order on Review was curried into execution at Mar Criminal Prison Fo. 1, Landsberg,

German, on 6 Eggenber 1947, at 1800

/s/ Lloyd A. Wilson (Signature and Rank) LLOYD A. WILSON, Cantain, GPP Prison Officer

(Organization)

/s/ David A. Oskley
(Counters) mature and Rank of
Wivnessing Officer)
DAVID A. Oakley, 1st Lt. CmlC
asst. Prison Officer

Having examined the record of trial, I concur, on this 9th day of October 1947.