7708 WAR CRIDES GROUP EUROPLAN COLLAND APO 407

18 Documber 1747

UNITED STATES

v. Case No. 12-658

Otto REDER, et al.

REVIEW AND RECORD TO DETIONS

I. TRIAL DATA: The accused were tried at Dachau, Germany, during the puriod 4-5 November 1947, before a General Military Government Court.

II. CHARGE OUD PARTICUL RS:

CHARGE: Violation of the Laws and Usages of Tar.

Particulars: In that Otto BIHME and Taldemar FELD LHR, German nationals, did, at or near FELESTEDT, Germany, on or about 30 May 1944, wrongfully encourage, aid, abet and participate in the killing of a member of the United States Army, who was then and there a surrendered and unarmed prisoner of war in the custody of the then German Reich.

III. Sid Mary OF EVIDENCE: On about 30 May 1944, an American flyer, believed to be Staff Sergeant William R. Forsythe, ASN 13126702, landed by parachute uninjured near the town of Lelm, Cermany. He was mietracted by members of a German bomb disposal squad, but received only minor injuries and was taken to the office of accused HEME, who was mayor and artsgruppenleiter. Accused BEHE and policeman Timmer then took the flyer by automobile to the Landrat's office at Helmstedt, Germany, where the Landrat and a Gendarmeric Captain Crome ordered accused File HIR to follow a police car carrying policeman Timmer and the flyer in a forest along the way. This order was executed by accused File HIR by ordering the flyer to walk along a forest path and, with a pistel, cheeting him from behind. He then fired two or more shots into the body on the ground.

Accused File IIR then delivered the corpse to the airport.

Accused DEMIN was co-mitted. Assumed FSLS AND relied entirely upon the defense of superior orders.

IV. EVIDENCE AND RECORDED DATIONS:

1. Otto BEHNE

This accused was acquitted (R 154).

2. Waldemar FELDMEILR

Nationality:

German

Age:

37

Civilian Status:

Merchant's Employee

Party Status:

Member of Mazi Party with position

of Freis Organization Leader

Military Status:

None

Ploa:

NO

Findings:

G

Sentence:

Death by hanging

Evidence for Prosecution: Schmidt tootified that on 30 May 1944 in the vicinity of Lelm, Germany, he saw three flyers parachute from a disabled plane; that he went to the one who landed nearest his house; and that this flyer was mistreated by accused DJBED and by members of a bomb disposal squad, but received only minor injuries and was taken away on foot toward Lelm, Germany (R 8-10).

Former policeman Timmer identified the accused in Court (R.17) and testified that he saw a flyer parachute from a plane on 30 May 1944 and on the way to the field where the flyer landed, he met a crowd of people taking the flyer to accused BEHRE's apartment (R.18). Later the witness went with accused BEHRE in his car to take the flyer to Helmstedt, Germany, where the flyer was registered by the Landrat office. The witness was then instructed to accompany the flyer to Air Corps Headquarters (R.21) and was told he would travel in a truck used as a personnel carrier and that another man would follow in his own car (R.24). Then the witness left Helmstedt, the accused and accused DEHRE followed with BEHRE driving and on the way both cars stopped at a signal from the car behind (R.25, 27). The car in which the witness and the flyer were riding was driven 50 to 80 meters into a side road and ordered the witness to walk along the road with the flyer and after about 20 pages the accused shot and killed the flyer from about five

paces behind (R 28). The accused then fired two or more shots into the body (R 29). The corpse of the flyer was then loaded into the truck and the accused told the witness that the body would be taken to the air base (R 30). This witness admitted on cross-examination that he had moments when he could not remember details clearly (R 35).

Dreier, former police clark at Halmsteat, identified the accused in Court and testified that in May 1944 he recalled that a flyer was brought in by policemen Timmer (R 54) and that the occasion was on 30 May 1944.

The witness testified that he registered the flyer who was an American.

The witness identified the paper on which he registered the flyer and which bore the flyer's signature as Staff Sergeant Tilliam K. Forsythe and the serial number 13126702. The witness further testified that he instructed Timmer to take the flyer to Marienthal airport (R 55, 56; P-Ex 6); and that the accused was not a policeman, but was frequently at police headquarters and always showed carticular interest when American flyers were brought in (R 58).

Muclist identified the occused in Court (R 65) and testified that he was an auxiliary policemen in May 1964 and was ordered to drive a car to pick up a flyor at the Landret office and was told by Captain Grome that the accused would be the transport leader (R 66); that efter the policeman and the flyer got into his car the accused came and told him to drive wlong and that he, the accused, would follow in a passanger car (R 67); that the witness drove might and accused followed him by 50 to 60 meters; that during the trip the policemen in the back signaled him to stop (R 68); and that the policemen and the flyer got out of the car and the accused and his driver got out of their car (R 69). The policeman with the flyer turned out on a dirt road into the forest and the accused with his driver followed tham. A short time later he heard several shots. The witness then got out of the car, but met the policeman who told him to back the personnel carrier into the forest road. This was done and the witness saw the flyor lying on the ground and the accused stood near the body with his driver a little farther away. The althose identified accused BARR as the driver The estrone further first Plant that the concern had a stat

his hand; and that then the accused and Hobbe loaded the flyer's body onto the personnel carrier (% 71). The accused got into the car with the witness and told the witness to drive to Marienthal airfield. The flyer's body was inloaded by soldiers outside the airport. There had been no sound or movement from the body which had been in the car about 30 minutes. The witness saw no sign of life in the body after it was inloaded (R 72, 73).

accused Bahar stated in his extrajudicial sworn testimony that the accused shot the flyer twice, and that the flyer did not run (R 80; P-ax 7 pp. 2, 6). Accused Bahar gave substantially the same testimony at the trial (R 127), and also testified that he helped to load the body of the dead flyer on the truck (R 135).

The accumed stated in his extrajudicial sworn testimony that he killed the flyer with a pintol most through the heart from the back as the flyer walked slone the forest path. He fired two more shots into the body as it lay on the ground and he then delivered the corpse to the sirport (2 82: P-ax 8a pp. 6, 7). The accused testified that he killed the flyer in the forest off the road from relastedt to warienth 1 sirfield (2 103-108).

After the findings were ennounced, the presecutor stated to the Court that there was evidence of a prior conviction and sentence to life imprisonment of the accused by a Jeneral Military Bovernment Court (R 155) for the effence of participating in the Milling of four Allied eigen in the case of United States v. Dreger, et al., Case No. 12-3205. The offence for which the accused was convicted in the prior case involved a different incident than that in the instant case.

Evidence for Dofonso: The accused stated in his extrajudicial sworn testimony that his superior, Cartain Crome, ordered him to shoot the flyer as a reprisel act because of some local recole who had been shot by low flying planes. He further stated that Crome said that the order came from Higher Haadquarters, he further stated that Crome arranged for the shooting and designated the place where the flyer must be shot, and that if he had not obeyed the order he would have been tried by a military court (2 82; P-Ix 8A pp. 3.4).

Eigher Readquarters, and police officers (a 99-101); that he was ordered to report to Gendermorio Captain Crome each time that an air raid alarm

was sounded; that he reported under such orders on 30 May 1944 (R 102); that Crome ordered him to shoot the flyer as a reprisal and that the flyer should be shot on the road from Helmstedt to Marienthal (R 103); that he was ordered to have the flyer walk in front and to shoot him through the heart from behind at a distance of from five to ten meters; and that he first saw the flyer at the Landrat's office in the custody of Timmer (R 104). The Landrat wrote some kind of an order for the shooting which the accused was allowed to glance at and was to deliver to the military authorities after the shooting (R 106, 107). The accused further testified that he picked up quite a few other flyers, but that this was the first case in which he killed one; that after the shooting he delivered the document from the Landrat to an officer at the sirport and delivered the corpse there according to orders (R 109); and that he then reported to the Landrat and Captain Crome (R 110).

After the Court had ennounced its findings, the accused made a statement to the Court in which he declared that he had told the whole truth and that he was convinced that the orders he had received were legal and that if he had not carried out the orders he would have been severely punished (R 155).

Sufficiency of Evidence: The evidence for the prosecution and the admissions of the accused are emply sufficient to support the findings of guilty. With regard to the evidence offered in support of superior orders, there was no evidence to corroborate the testimony of the accused, and it was clearly shown that the killing was not done in the presence of any superior of the accused; that the orders relied upon by the accused were those of the Landret and a police captain at a distant point; and the Court, if it believed that the accused had in fact received such orders, might well have concluded from the evidence that the accused willingly killed the American flyor; that his desire to please his superiors was stronger than other considerations; that he aid not act under ismediate compulsion; and that he failed to must the burden of proof required by pertinent authorities discussed in Section V, post.

my platform of auditor are assumented to the auditorior. The contra

is not excessive.

Petitions: A petition for Review was filed by Chiof Defense Counsel, Major William A. Ostos, 21 November 1947.

No Petitions for Clemency were Illed.

Recommendation: That the findings and sentence be approved.

V. QUESTIONS OF LAW:

Jurisdiction: It is clear that the Court had jurisdiction of the persons of the accused and of the subject matter.

Superior Orders: Accused F. IDMENIER sought to justify his actions by offering evidence to show that he was acting in compliance with "superior orders". Compliance with superior orders does not constitute a defense to the charge of having committed a war crime (Trial of Henry Tirz, 40th Congress, 2nd Sess., House of Representatives, Ex. Doc. No.23, page 412, Vol. II, Sixth Edition, Op enhoim, "International Law", paregraph 253, page 453; Llandovery Castle Case, 16 American Journal of International Law, page 708; United States v. Thomas, opinion Deall, December 1945, and United States v. Klein, et al., (Hadamar Nurder Factory Case), opinion DJATC, February 1946; and French Republic v. Wagner, et al., Court of appeals (France), July 1946). This rule is followed in Anglo-American Jurisprudence (Mitchell v. Harmony, 13 How. 115, and "Manual for Courts-Martial, U.S. Army", 1928, paragraph 148).

considered in mitigation of punishment. However, an accused who seeks relief on such grounds assumes the burden of establishing (a) that he received an order from a superior in fact, directing that he commit the wrongful act, (b) that he did not know, or as a reasonably prudent person, would not have known that the act which he was directed to perform was illegal or contrary to universally accepted standards of human conduct, and (c) that he acted, at least to some extent, under immediate compulsion. Having satisfactorily established these elements, the amount to which his sentence should be mitigated depends upon the character and extent of the immediate compulsion under which he acted. (See London Agreement of 8 August 1945, Concerning Prosscution and Punishment of M. jor "ar Criminals

of the European Axis; FM 27-10, War Department, U.S. Army, "Rules of Land Warfare", paragraph 345.1, Change No. 1, 15 November 1944; Oppenheim, "International Law", supra, and the Llandovery Castle Case cited therein; "Manuel for Courts-Martial", supra; "Report to the President of United States", 7 June 1945, by Mr. Justice Jackson, U.S. Chief Counsel for the Prosecution of Axis Criminality; Extract from Goebbels' "The Air Terror of Our Enemies", found in footnote, page 53, "Military Occupation and the Rules of the Law", by Ernst Fraenkel; United States v. Bury, et al., opinion DJANC, September 1945; United States v. Thomas, supra; and United States v. Beck, et al., opinion DJANC. December 1946).

Examination of the entire record fails to disclose any error or omission which resulted in injustice to the accused.

VI. CONCLUSIONS:

- 1. It is recommended that the findings and the sentence be approved.
- 2. Logal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attached hereto, should it meet with approval.

V. H. McCLINTOCK Attorney Post Trial Branch

Having	examined	the	record	of	trial, I	concur,	this	 day	Tc
0.000	19	48.							

C. E. STR. IGHT Licutement Colonel, JASD Deputy Judge Advocate for War Crimes