- Table Son bib on Table HEADTURNTERS (100 TOVI) and the Washing Life

### THIRD U.S. ARMY AND EASTERN MILITARY DESTRICT AND DATE.

to baraflor bus suggedue III benesorque vanouir; out many produced in contact to interaction of subra at suggestion of the contact to the Month of the root about 10 to 10 May November 194500

SUBJECT: Review of Proceedings of Military Commission in the Case of the United States vs Georg Schultheiss. Case No: 12-1418.

TO ... Commanding Constal, Third U.S. Army and Eastern Lalitary
District, AlC 403, U.S. Army.

## cround because the sound of the shot had council the : IATER SME out (3 25) at the time Semilitudes are should such as such as the fact of

The accused, Georg Schultheiss, was tried before a Military Commission in Dachen, Cermany, 21 September 1945 on the following Charge and Specification:

CHARGE: Wielation of the Laws of War. The state of the st

SIECIFICATION: In that CECRG ACCUITHEISS, a German National, did, at or near Rende, Germany, on or about 27 Reptember 1914, willfully, deliberately and wrongfully kill DONALD E BRENT, a me ber of the United States arry who was then unarmed and a prisoner of war in the custody of the then German Reich, by shooting him.

# 2. PINDINGS IND STRUMENTS: 1-22 Fair on the at the fair of the second of

The accused was found not guilty of the charge and specifica-

### 3. EVIDERE FOR THE IROSECUTION: THE SECURIOR STATE OF THE SECURIOR

The accused Georg Schultheiss, a sergeant in the German army, was on detached survice in the town of Renda, Germany, there he was in charge of a work detail of French prisoners of War (R 7, 9, 11, 14). On the night of 27 September 1944 Schultheiss vent to the home of Karl Linhose, Deputy Burgomeister of Renda who was in charge of the home guard (R 7). Schulthaise told linkose that he had a prisoner, n American flyer, who had to be delivered to the police station in the neighboring town of Netra which was about 5 kilometers away and recuested that he be furnished a member of the home guard to accompany him (R 7, 20). Since it was night Linhose suggested that the trisoner be kept in Renda till the next morning, but Schultheiss said that he had strict orders to deliver the prisoner immediately to the nesrest folice station which was in Netra (R 7). Linhose sufflied Schultheiss with a member of the home guard, Nicolaus Ellenberger (R 8, 27). Linhose and Schultheiss then went to the tavern of Mcrgantal where the prisoner, who was dressed in an American uniform, was being held (R 8, 20). an impresentation of about it of stdmine a notification

Schultheiss and the prisoner started on their way to Netra and were met by Ellenberger at the edge of Renda (R 2, 20). Ellenberger did not have a weapon and had received no instructions as to what to do if the prisoner attempted to escape (R 20, 26, 30). It was between 8 and 8:30 F.M. when the three proceeded on their way (R 20). Ellenberger and the prisoner welked shord together (R 20). Ellenberger was on the left side of the prisoner, approximately one meter scrarating them (R 20, 21). Schultheiss was about 3 to 4 meters behind (R 20). The sky was cloudy (R 20). Ellenberger, whose eyes were weak and vore plasses for reading, could distinguish objects from 6 to 10 meters every (R 20, 24, 25, 26). They had none about one

kilometer when the flyer hollered at Ellenberger who did not understand him. Nothing happened and they continued walking (R 22, 31). It was about 9:30 f.m., after they had proceeded about another three kilometers, when the prisoner approached Ellenberger and hollered at him again (R 21, 31). Ellenberger in order to maintain his distance from the prisoner went off the road about 2 to 3 meters (R 21). He leared that the flyer might try to grab him although the flyer never did touch him (R 24, 25). As Ellenberger turned around a shot was fired (R 21).

Schultheiss was about 2 meters from the American who foll, face downward in the direction of Schultheiss, dead (R 21, 22, 23). Ellenberger explained that the shot had already been fired before he turned around because the sound of the shot had caused him to turn around (R 25). At that time Schultheiss was about 5 meters from him (R 21). Ellenberger stated that the flyer could rossibly have lunged at Schultheiss during the interval when he was moving off the road as he. Ellenberger, had his back to both at the time (R 25). Ellenberger did not give and did not hear Schultheiss give any commands to the prisoner (R 30). After the shooting Ellenberger called Schultheiss to come to him (R 22). At that time Schultheiss had his hand on his holster (R 22). They carried the prisoner to the crassy edge of the road and covered him up (R 23). There was blood on the prisoner's head (R 23). Schultheiss and Ellenberger had held no conversation during the walk toward Netra and Schultheiss and in no way indicated that he had had any intention of killing the flyer (R 26. 30).

Schultheies and Ellenberger then returned to Renda (R 24). Schultheiss teld him that he had to report the incident to his company and to the air base at Eschwege (R 24).

The next morning Schultheiss reported to Linhose that the soldier had become belligerent and that he had shot him (R 9). On the same morning Schultheiss reported to the Burgomeister Reith that "I had to take a prisoner to the police station in Netra and I had to shoot him because he became aggressive" (R 13, 14). Reith understood that the flyer had exhibited that aggressiveness by grabbing Schultheiss with his hands (R 18). Reith remembered the name of the flyer was Donald Brent because Schultheiss had given him the name on a slip of paper (R 14, 15). On the following day, 29 September, 1944, Linhose, accompanied by Schultheiss, Nicolas Ebeling, Georg Gork and Karl Kunigs, went to fetch the body which was about 3 kilometers from Henda (R 9, 12). It was the same body that Linhose had seen in the Morgantan Tayorn on the night of 27 September 1944 (R 11, 12). The face was bloody and there was a hole on the side of the forehead (R 10). The body was carted every in a wagon to a nearby cemetery where it was buried (R 10, 17).

An investigation of the incident was conducted by the Cerman Military authorities (R 17). A stipulation between the Trial Judge Advocate, Defense Counsel and accused was admitted into dvidence as Frosecution's Exhibit 1. It reads in pertinent part as follows:

That during the months of September and October 1944, there existed a state of was between the United States of America and the Cerman Reich;

"2. That during the months of September and October 1944, the area of Rends and Netra, Germany, was in German held territory:

"3. That the road and/or highway between the towns of Notra and Rende is in Cermany;

TOTAL TOTAL CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF THE P

-

"4. That on or about 27 September 1944, Georg Schultheiss, ago 54, a German notional, was a Sergeant in the German Army of the German Reich stationed in Renda, Germany—having entered the army on 5 January 1944;

wild ally your toyl but bedony the antion of a for the aller a restriction

ASN 0747730, a member of the United States Armed Forces, was in custody of a member of the Cerman Armed Forces;

"6. That on or about 27 September 1944, the deceased in this case, one Donald 3 Brent, ASM 0747730, a member of the United States Limited Forces was shot to death on the road between Rends and Netra, Germany; that the cause of death was a punshot yound in the head."

### EVIDENCE FOR THE DEWINSE:

0 (SA ) A

The accused Schulthelse, his rights having been explained to him by the Defense Counsel and the Commission, elected to make a sworn statement and testified as follows:

his course, E. w. I bay interported by

He was 54 years of age and had been a serreint in the first orld tar for four years (R 36, 37, 49). During that time he had had his ter equated, had been gased twice, had been buried live for a day and shalf, and had griffe, rheum tism and arthritis (R 49). As a civilian he had prepared visiting cards at country fairs and had been a truck driver (R 37). He entered the army a second time on 5 July 1944 as a private and was assigned at Kassel to guard Russian Prisoners of War and while there he received instructions relative to the prevention of the second of prisoners (R 37). If a prisoner escaped, Schultness would have been punished and degraded (R 37). He was next sent to Esch and then to Renda where he guarded 15 French Prisoners of Var who worked on the surrounding farms. Schultheiss attained the grade of sergeant and was the only soldier stationed in Renda (R 33).

On 27 September 1944 considerable derial activity occurred over Rende at noon (R 47). Dive bombers strafed the farmers in the fields (R 17). Four American and two German planes were shot down (R 38). Schultheiss took one enemy flyer, who had parachuted to sefety and who had been captured, to Runda and then to the police station t Natra that afternoon. (R 39). About 8 F.M. a Frenchman informed Schultheiss that a second flyer, who was the victim in the instant case, was a prisoner at the tavern of Morgantal (R 40). Schultheiss went there and found that the flyer was unharmed (R 40). He searched the flyer for a verien but found none (R 40). The flyer was confernite and in no way resisted (R 43). Schultheiss wanted to take the flyer to the police station at Matra since his superior was there (R 41).

is are "I entited Allegand that the council a Dig Schultheiss went to Linhose who was then home guard chief and asked that a man be assigned to accompany him (R 40). Linkose said that Ellenberger would do that. Schultheiss's insistence that another individual should assist him was in accordance with an army rule that reofle were to proceed in two to at night although it was immuterial if they were armed or not (R 47). Schultheiss procured the flyer and mot Ell amargor of the edge of town and the three proceeded toward Netra (0.40). The moon was shining (R 40). The prisoner was in front with Alenburger on the prisoner's right (R 41). Schultheiss kept his hand in his overcost packet griffing his ristol (R Al). He had no holotor (R 41). They had proceeded about one-currer of the v y when the flyer said something (R Al). After they had some about 3 to 4 kilometers, the prisoner started toward Ellemberger, who was still welking to the right of the prisoner, suddenly hollored, turned around, grabbed Schultbeies the right arm and kicked him in the log (R Al).

Schultheiss seid, "het is the matter," pushed the flyer away with his left hand, pulled out his pistol and shot (R 41). Schultheise believed that the flyer was trying to get his wearon and as a matter of self-defense and in order to prevent an escape fired the fatal shot (R 42). He believed that he acted in accordance with his duty (R 42). At no raior time had he intended to shoot the prisoner (R 42). Schultheiss and Ellenberger carried the body to the side of the road and covered it with a piece of cloth (R 42).

They returned to Renda at about 11 F.M. (R 42). The reason that Schultheiss did not continue on to Netra was that everything was closed, that he was a stranger in that town, that it was a long walk uphill, and that he would have to return to Renda the same night (R 48, 49). On their return to Renda nothing was said except Schultheiss stated the flyer had grabbed him and he had to shoot. The next morning Schultheiss reported the incident to Burgomeister Reith and informed his company. He was later interrogated by a German army cartain (42).

An oral stipulation was entered into that Ellenberger was not under charges of any character (R 34).

#### 5. IROCEEDINGS:

In letter Headquarters United States Forces European Theater, subject: "Trial of Suspected War Criminals by a Military Commission," 29 August 1945, trial by military commission of the accused Schultheiss was directed. The appointment of the commission and the proceedings thereof were in compliance with the provision of letter, Headquarters, United States Forces European Theater, subject: "Military Commissions", 25 August 1945, which sets forth military commission regulations. The accused was represented by a captain and first lieutenant who are both attorneys. A fair and impartial trial was had. An individual interpreter, who was sworn, was provided for the accused. Challenges for causes were permitted.

#### 6. JURISHTOTION:

Reference is made to the discussion of the jurisdiction of Wilitary Commissions in the review of the proceedings of the military commission in the case of Albert Bury and Wilhelm Hafner, 15 August 1945, from which it is apparent that, in general, the jurisdiction of a military commission would extend to cases such as this one. Furthermore that jurisdiction was conferred upon military commissions such as this by letter, headquarters United States Forces European Theater, subject: "Military Commissions" 25 August 1945.

#### 7. MERITS AND DEFENSES:

The specification alleged that the accused, a German national, wilfully, deliberately and wrongfully killed an American prisoner of war. Such action clearly constituted a violation of the rules of and werfare and international law.

Rilled an American prisoner of wer then in his custody. The only issue which was before the commission was whether the accused's act was ustifiable on the basis that it was to prevent an escape or hostile act by his victim. In a case involving the trial of an American soldier for the slaying of a prisoner of war, a finding of guilty was held legally insufficient where the evidence was considered to show that the killing resulted from the use of reasonable measures to prevent the prisoner's escape (Dig. Of. BOTHAG, ETO, Vol. II, Sec. 450 (1), (AV 92), CM ETO 4581, Ross (1945). It was stated in that opinion that, under the established principles of international law and the declarations

of the Geneva Convention, the guardian of the prisoner of war was authorized to use such force as was necessary to prevent escape and for this turpose violence resulting in the fusitive prisoner's death might be employed if less severe measures proved indernate (See also Jack Text No. 7, Law of Land Warfare, p. 104). It was also stated that, when it was shown that the accused killed the prisoner by use of a firearm, the presumption arose that the homicide was with malice aforathought and hence murder. However, this was a rebuttable presumption which could be overcome by proof that the prisoner was killed in the course of an attempt to escape or that the killing was, under the circumstances, reasonably deemed necessary by him in order to revent ascape. The burden was on the prosecution to establish that the prisoner did not attempt to escape or, failing in that proof, that the accused used more than necessary force to prevent the escape.

In the instant case the accused testified that the american prisoner turned around, grabbed his arm and kicked him. He believed that the prisoner was attempting to seize his weapen and effect an escape. Ellenberger who had been walking along-side the prisoner did not see those actions for, as he moved off to the side of the road, he had turned around so that he could not see either the accused or the American. Although the accused's testimony was at variance in certain details with Ellenberger's testimony, such as whether the accused had a helster or whether Ellenberger was on the prisoner's left or right, the trial judge tovocate presented no evidence which in any way refuted the accused's claim that the prisoner attacked him. Thus, the presumption of homicide having been rebutted, the presention failed to most its burden of proof to establish alther that the prisoner did not attempt to escape or that here than necessary force was used to prevent the comps. Accordingly, the fundings of the commission were warrented.

It should be noted that in the pretrial interrogations
Ellenberger stated positively that the American prisoner did not
attempt to attack the accused, whereas his testimony contained no such
assertions. If allenberger's testimony had been in confermity with
his pretrial statement, the commission would have been justified in
finding Schultheiss guilty as charged since his testimony would have
been uncorroborated and Ellenberger was apparently a totally didinterested party.

#### E. IROCEDURE:

The defense's motion for a finding of not guilty after the prosecution had rested was properly denied since at that time there was substantial evidence which, together with all reasonable inferences therefrom and all applicable presumptions, fairly tended to establish every clament of the effence charged.

#### 9. OITNION:

It is my orinion that the commission had jurisdiction of the person and offenses involved and that the accusited of the accused is legal.

/s/ Charles E. Cheever /t/ CHARLES E. CHEEVER Colonel, J.A.C.D., Staff Judge Advecto

CALCARIA ...

#### HEAD UARTERS THIRD US ARMY AND EASTERN MILITARY DISTRICT

Military Commission )

14 November 1945

Orders Number

Before a military commission which convened at Dachau, Germany, pursuant to paragraph 4, Special Orders No. 251, this headquarters, 10 September 1945, as amended by paragraph 27, Special Orders No. 259, this headquerters, 18 September 1945, was arraigned and tried:

Georg Schultheiss, a German national.

CHARCE: Violation of the Laws of War. Specification: In that Georg Schultheiss, a German national, did, at or near Renda, Germany, on or about 27 September 1944, wilfully, deliberately and wrongfully kill Donald E. Brent, a member of the United States Army who was then unarmed and a prisoner of war in the custody of the then German Reich, by shooting him.

### ther and the above the bar to FIEAS of the party and the court and

To the Specification of the Charge: Not Guilty. To the Charge:

Not Guilty.

Of the Specification of the Charge: Not Guilty.
Of the Charge: Not Guilty.

The court therefore accuitted the accused on 21 September 1945.

nd deathful and one of the realizer to Leading the training to be bounded in the state of the st

theretically be and the state of the state o

The second transfer to the second second to the second sec

BY COLMAND OF LIEUTENANT CENERAL TRUSCOTT:

DON E CARLETON, DON E CARLETON,
Brigadier General, U. S. Army, Chief of Staff.

/s/ Thomas Robinson /t/ THOMAS ROBINSON Colonel, Adjutant General's Department, Adjutant General