12 June 1947

to and to nalesman aid at pathty alon Case No. 000-50-2-22

To unknowing forming for househouses

never of the charge of the then Carred

Ernst AWGERTR, Alois WIPPLINGER,)
Franz FROHMAPUZL, Alois HIPP,
Gorman nationals. ordered of integrand has given directly

REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS forms, the man of the figures in the first of the first o

1. TRIAL DATA:

Tried jointly at Dachau, Germany Date: 26 November - 3 December 1946 General Military Government Court

HALLIST BOX ST

ACCHED

DATA

AMGERIER Brnst

Age 32 25 years, commencing SS blockleader 18 May 1945

Rank: T/Sat.

FROHMAPFEL. Franz

Age 33 SS roll call leader

Death

Rank: M/Sgt.

HIPP, "Alois broad any care almost work that he was add and 55 roll call leader Rank: M/Sgt.

Death

your to reductive indicated. "Strict P. 1 to a newbified done of the FIRST CHARGE: Violation of the Laws and Usages of War.

Thors were Lefeller rath of

Consonting the Commence

Pleas

PARTICULARS: In that Drnst AVGURTR AUGURTR Liois WIPPLINGER, Frank FROHMAPIEL, FROHMAPIEL NG 0 Alois HIPP, acting in pursuance of HIPP a common design to commit the acts hereinafter alleged, and as individruls alding in the operation of the Dachau Concentration Camp and camps subsidiary thereto, did, at or in the vicinity of DaCHAU and LANDSDURG. Germany, between about 1 January 1943 and about 29 spril 1945, willfully, deliberately and wrongfully encourage, aid, abet and participate in the subjection of civilian nationals of nations then at war with the then German Reich to crucities and m'streatment, including killings, beatings, tortures, starvation, abuses and indignities, the exact names and numbers of such civilian nationals being unknown but aggregating many thousands who were then and there in the custody of the Gorman Reich in exercise of bellicement control

| osages of war. | DOLLAR HALL |
|---|-------------------------------|
| PARTICULARS: In that Ernst ANGERER, Alois MIPPLINGER, Franz FROHNAPFEL, Alois HIPP, acting in pursuance of a common design to commit the acts | ANGERER FROHMAPPEL HIPP |
| hereinafter alleged, and as individ- uals aiding in the operation of the Dachau Concentration Camp, and camps subsidiary thereto, did, at or in the vicinity of DACELU and LANDSBURG. | 20.0 |
| and about 29 april 1945, will cully, deliberately and wrongfully encourage, aid, abet and participate in the sub- | San Plan |
| jection of members of the armed forces of nations then at war with the than German Reich, who were then and there surrendered and unarmed prisoners of | 1 10 1 |
| war in the custody of the then German Roich, to cruelties and mistreatment, including killings, beatings, tortures, starvation, abuses and indienities, the | of the same of same |
| exact names and numbers of such trisone of Mar being unknown but aggregating many hundrods. | rs |

Violution of the Laws and

Pleas

MG

MG

MA

ord it is without parell the state of the st

Tried faintly at the STICKS VOT NO SERVICE DEAL STREET STREET, SANS

The Party

Findings.

G

The accused Aleis WIPPLINGER was served but not tried (R 2).

RECOMMENDATIONS: That the findings and sentences be approved. 2.

State of Line State to

3.

SHOOMD CHARGE:

For the Prosecution: Prosecution's case was based on the sworn testimony of 18 witnesses, in addition to extrajudicial sworn testimony as hereinafter indicated. Exhibit P-1 is a certified copy of the charges, particulars, findings and sentences in the parent Dachan Concontration Camp case (U.S. vs Weiss et al., 000-50-2, March 1946; hereinafter referred to as the "Parent Case"; R 8). Exhibit P-2 is a letter published by Dachau Detachment, 7708 War Orimas Group, 15 November 1946, subject: "Status of Personnel in Concentration Camps" (R 9). Exhibits F-3 - 8, consisting of pages 123, 123, 126, 180, 308, 521 and 528 from the record of the Parent Case, were admitted into ovidence (R 10). Physical copies thereof were not required for introduction by the Court as it was directed to and did take judicial rotice of the conteres of the record in the Parent Case (R 54). Ex-Libit P-9 is a map of the Dachau Concentration Camp (R 34). Exhibits P-10-a and Il-A ore of the indicisl sworn testimony of ANGERER and

FROHNAPFEL (R 177, 178). Accused HIPP did not make any such statement. Exhibit P-12, consisting of a paragraph on page 16 of the Review and Recommendations in the Parent Case by the Deputy Theater Judge Advocate for War Crimes, was read into the record (R 183).

All of the accused were, either by their own admissions or by testimony of witnesses, shown to have been members of the SS at Duchau Concentration Camp and/or its outcamps for considerable periods of time between the dates alleged (P-Ex 10-A and 11-A).

Prosecution witness Gattinger testified at length (R 12 - 22), in respect to atrocities committed at Dachau Concentration Camp during the winter of 1941 through March of 1942 (R 18). Russian inmates left camp during the early months of 1942 and it was generally known that they had been shot on the rifle range by members of the SS at Dachau Concentration Camp, assisted by block leaders and roll call leaders (R 24, 25). Accused FROHNAPTEL and HIPP were both stationed at Camp Dachau at the same time as Waffen SS master sergeants, holding similar positions as roll call leaders, and engaged in similar acts of brutality. All prosecution witnesses, with the excention of one, testified generally and specifically, as to the personal participation of accused FROHNAPTEL and HIPP in the execution of thousands of Bassian inmates, prisoners of war, during 1942 and to their individual sadistic tendencies on all occasions.

For the Defense: The defense's case was based on the sworn testimony of eight witnesses on behalf of the accused ANGERER, one testimonial as to the character of accused ANGERER (D-Ex 1-A, R 227), and the extrajudicial sworn testimony of accused ANGERER and FROHM PFML introduced by the presecution (P-Er's 10-A and 11-A). Testimonials offered in evidence on behalf of accused FROHM APPEL related to events long prior to the period covered by the charges. They were very general and indefinite. They were not admitted (R 228, 229). We evidence was introduced on behalf of accused HIPP. None of the accused testificial in his own behalf.

Accused ANGERTR, a member of the Waffen SS death head unit, was stationed at Dachan Concentration Camp during the spring of 1942 in the position of block leader with the rank of technical sergeant (R 33). During the months of January, February and March 1942, he was seen at the orderly room once, equipped with steel helmet and rifle (R 37), as a member of an execution detail (R 25). It was common knowledge within the camp that ANGERER and the other two accused were among those SS men designated to act as the executioners of five to eix thousand Russian inmates during the last 'we cenths of 1941 and the soring of 1942 (2 49). The SS men who participated in the executions received as a gratuity, extra rations of bread. schnoops, hard liquor, cirarettes, ten and sandwiches (R 50, 66, 59, 133). Prosecution witness Schuett testified that he saw ANGURER once on the shooting range between January and March 1942 (R 68), when an execution took place, at which time he saw him as a member of the execution squad shoot maked Russian or Polish inmates (R 65, 66).

Prosocution witness Untheim testified he saw all of the convicted accused sometimes during the latter part of 1941 and during the early part of 1942 at the motor pool carage as members of the details known as "fostival of riflemen", equipped with rifles and steel helmets; that the details went out on army buses scating twelve persons accompanied by trucks and when the trucks came back about two hours later they were bloody; and that he particularly saw ANGERTP at the garage more than once during the time mentioned (R 79 - 84).

Between 17 December 1943 and 1 January 1944, ANGTHER on two occasions was seen to have hung up on poles fixed with hooks in the yard of the arrest building (R 155) 10 or 15 inmates of Russian and Luxemburg nationality. Their hands were behind their backs. While being interrogated in this position, ANGTHER beat them with his fists and kicked them with his feet (R 149 - 152, 156). ANGTHER participated in the beating of inmates over a table. Witness Schaubol testified that he received 25 lashings administered by ANGTHER (R 150).

In February 1944 ANGERUR was seen in the crematory with detail leader Ponkartz (apparently the execution detail leader) and it was common knowledge throughout the camp that ANGERER took part in executions (R 153). FROHNAPPEL stated that ANGERER was with him in 1942 during the execution of inmates (P-Ex 11-A). FROHNAPPEL identified ANGERER when confronted with him (P-Ex 10-A), and ANGERER then admitted being present on one occasion when 10 inmates were executed, but that he shot only one inmate, a Russian, through the heart (P-Ex 10-A).

The prosecution in rebuttal as to the character of ANGERER called witness Behr, not affirmatively shown to have been sworn, who testified that ANGERER was a good man and that he shared this opinion with many others up to 1938 (R 243). In 1938 a great many Jewish inmates came to Dachau Concentration Camp and ANGERER was observed kicking them, from which day Behr was of the opinion that ANGERER was not different from the rest of the SS men in any material respect because a good man should not have mistroated inmates (R 243). Until the time ANGERER kicked as inmate, his reputation was sommaratively good (R 244).

testified that ANCIETE's name was never mentioned as one of the SS men who beat, tertured and killed inmates (R 188); that the Ruseian inmates he knew did not identify ANGERIR as one of the block leaders who attended the executions; and that ANGIETER was good to him (R 189-191). Witness Marizzi testified that he was quartered in the block over which ANGIETER was block leader; that ANGERER visited and sat and talked with the inmates; and that when ANGERER visited and sat and found anything wrong he merely said, "That has to be brought in order", but that he didn't make a report. It was generally known that when ever ANGERER took inmates out to work, he would provide food for them whenever possible (R 193-195). According to Wagner, ANGERER's general reputation was that he treated inmates humanely (R 198). Prey testified that ANGERER told him in 1942 that he had been listening to foreign

telling him which blocks were to be inspected on a particular day

(R 204); and that his good reputation existed, whether or not he

participated in the Russian executions or in the beatings of and the

interrogations of inmates while hung up by their wrists, because he

did not make punishment reports or beat inmates inside the camp on

his own initiative (R 206).

Witness Cieslik testified that ANGERER was interested in the wolfare of the inmates, how they were, their family relationships and the conditions at their howes (R 209); that he was not like the other SS troopers as far as treatment of inmates was concerned; that he behaved quite differently and when saluted he nodded his head (R 310); and that he treated him, Cicelik, as a human being. Witness Emper testified that ANGERER never beat anybody in his detail. ANGURER told him, "You have to behave like a human being and have to treat them like a human", and he acted accordingly. He did not force inmates to work hard and on several occasions brought them bread. Menever ANGERER made inspections, the inmates were certain that none of them would be reported and, although it was strictly forbidden to have lighters, matches, etc., in their pockets, ANGERER always overlooked it (R 214-216). ANGERER moved out with as many as 250 inmates on work detail without any other guards, showing his confidence in them (R 218).

Respect further testified that, during the years 1942, 1943 and 1944, instates were strong up in the bath house (R 219); that ANGERER left Dachau Concentration Camp during the summer of 1943 for the front (R 221); and that ANGERER could not have hanged inmates on poles during the period of time between 17 December 1945, and January 1944 because he was with a tank regiment from the summer of 1943 to the summer of 1944 (E 222). Maria Angerer, wife of the accused, testified that her Eusband was a detail leader of 800 Dachau inmates at St.

Lambrecht, Ametric, from July to November 1942 (R 236). Early in

and the community of the common of the commo

January 1943, he was transferred to Mauthausen for a period of four wooks as punishment because he treated immates humanely. Upon his return from Mauthausen, he stayed in Dachau for fourteen days and on the 28th of February 1943 he was sent to Weimar to an armored replacement division. He was then sent to France and, upon return from France, to troops training grounds in Silesia, in Neuhammer near Gweis, and from there to the front; all of this being in 1943. He didn't come home until 29 April 1944, at which time they were married, and then he went back to the front until December 1944 (R 233-235).

Accused FROHNAPFEL and HIPP were members of the death head unit of the Waffen SS and were stationed at Dachau Concentration Comp during the spring of 1942, doing duty as roll call leaders (R 22, 56, 57). Each held the rank of master sergeant (R 23). During the months of January, February and March 1942, both FROHNAPFEL and HIPP were seen at the orderly room, about ten or fifteen times, equipped with steel helmets and rifles (R 26, 60, 86) as members of an execution detail (R 25, 60, 63). FROHNAPFEL and HIPP were seen very often taking inmates of various nationalities, such as Russians, Poles, etc., into the bath house for corporal punishment and hanging (R 28, 72, 75, 85, 91, 92). An extail whip was used by both of ther in beating and punishing inmates on the wooden horse in the bath house (R 73).

administration of punishment by both accused was a daily occurrence, it being impossible to state the exact number of times (R 39, 33, 72, 100). Both accused used similar methods of punishment (R 32, 33, 97, 100), such as when inmates were hanged on a pole, they were awang and slapped in the face (R 32, 92), and when beatings were administered the counting was often interrupted and counting was started again from a low numeral designated by the inmate when he would reply to a question as to his room or block number (R 32, 102). Such punishments were administered throughout the years of 1942 and 1943 (R 33, 76). During roll calls and block inspections, when the inmates had to full in both accused slapped and block inspections, when the inmates had to full in both accused slapped and block inspections (R 33, 76, 96, 97, 100, 163).

word among those SS men designated to act as the executioners of the five to six thousand Russian inmates during the last two months of 1941 and the soring of 1942 (R 49). The SS men who particitated in the executions received extra rations of bread, schnapps, hard liquor, cimurottes, ten and sandwiches as a reward (R 50, 86, 69, 133).

FROHNAFIEL and HIFP, in their capacities as roll call leaders, had charge of assembling block leaders to attend these executions (R 50).

All the block leaders in the compound were under FROHNAPPEL and HIPP, who were the only roll call leaders in the compound at the time (R 57, 118), and who were directly responsible to the lager fuebrer (R 57).

On 31 December 1942 HIPP, in a drunken condition, went from block to block severely beating immates and later reported for punishment the block and room eldest of Block 14 and Room 1. Prosecution witness Warsecka, a Pole, testified that because he moved in formation he was snocked down and ricked by HIPP, which caused him to lose all his tooth. During the entire year of 1942 HIPP was seen to beat several inmates daily. He was reputed to be responsible for deaths of inmates. Sometimes inmates were beaten and then sent to the hospital where they died. HIPP was commonly known as a Pole hater, because he treated them like sub-human beings. When he came to the camp, all the Polish inmates tried to run for cover and hide from him. FROKNAPFE held the same position as HIPP and, although not as noisy as HIPP, conducted himself in a similar namer (R 55-60).

ployed in the handquarters motor pool garage during the months of January, February and March 1942, he saw all three convicted accused at the garage at intervals of regular times each week, armed with rifles and wearing steel helmets (R 78, 79, 83, 84). Inmates were taken away in buses, scatting twelve persons (R 79), accommanded by trucks. When the trucks came back about two hours later, they were bloody (R 79, 80, 82). The trucks were used for details known as "festival of riflemen" and upon investigation to determine the

meaning of the term, the witness found identification tags in the trucks. During 1941 and 1942, he collected a sufficient number of such tags covering Russians and Poles to fill a box which was about the size of a shee box (R 80, 81). Prosecution witness Gorany testified that he was hung up by FROHNAPFEL, swung back and forth, cursed and kicked and, further, that another inmate, a Polish priest, similarly hung, and mistreated at the same time, died the next day (R 91-93). Prosecution witness Eberle testified that he saw FROHNAPFEL six or seven times and HIPP four or five times unloading bodies at the old crematory from a truck which had come there with bodies of inmates who had been shot at the shooting range. FROHNAPVEL and HIPP were the worst beaters and the ones who mistreated the inmates most severely. They would often but inmates until they were unconscious, bloody all over, toothless, or had broken noses.

Prosecution witness Monnengesser testified that in March 1942, HIPP came to the crematory excited, pistol in hand, and chased the inmates working there into the cellar where they remained for twenty minutes, during which time a shot was heard. After their release from the cellar, he saw a body, still warm, which he was told had been shot. No other SS man was present and no other person except HIPP had a pistol. He found out later that the inmate who was killed was a Yugoslav (R 107-112). Prosecution witness Hofer testified that it was commonly known throughout the camp that the roll call loaders. FROMNAPFEL and HIPP, were required to be present at executions to perform shootings and also that they were required to be present at beatings (R 117, 118). Prosecution witness Weichart tostified that he saw both FROHWAPFEL and HIPP about six times during the spring of 1942 returning from the shooting range and that it was common knowledge that they participated in executing Russian inmates, prisoners of war, at the sheeting range (R 121, 125). Immediately after their return to cump, trucks would arrive with dead bodies (R 121). In the spring of 1942, prosecution witness Jendrian saw a

formation at which SS block leaders and report leaders were decorated with war merit crosses, which ordinarily were given to men who saw combat service. In his opinion these awards were made in recognition of shooting prisoner of war inmates. The witness particularly remembered that FROHENPEL received such an award. He remembered it because he especially looked for him, in view of the fact that he considered him one of the most dangerous and brutal men in the camp (R 129).

Prosecution witness Much testified that in the number of 1942 HIPP boat an inmate who subsequently was chosen for cold water tests " given by Dr. Rancher; that this victim together with other inmates of various nationalities was hung by his wrists in 1943 upon order of HIPP; and that six weeks prior to this trial he met HIPP who, when he asked him how things were going, replied, "Oh, I figure on two or three years", and when asked, "You were present at the execution of Russians?" HIPP replied. "Yes, that is true, but I did that on superior orders". HIPP also said at that time, "Can I send you a little package of tobacco?", which offer in Muth's opinion was to encourage the witness not to mention what HIPF had done to him (R 131 - 135). Prosecution witness Cleslik testified that on one occasion he saw HIFF. Who of the day of the special party and the his uniform covered with blood, after he had beaten a Polish priest V 6 1 1 1 16 and others who suffered open wounds from the injuries received; that FROHNAPFEL and HIPP mistreated Polish inmates during block inspections; and that, when FROHNAFFED and HIPP caught inmates at morning or evening roll calls with parer under their jackets to keep warm, the inmates were beaten and then reported. Similarly, when dirty handkerchiof rags, there being no some to wash them with were found or inmates by FROHNAPPEL or HIPP, the inmates were beaten and reported. For such incidents the immates were often hanged for one hour on a pele or received 25 strokes with the stick. Both FROHMAPPEL and HIPP held sick call inspections without a doctor present to decide whether inmates were hespital cases. They dented hespital treatment unless death appeared probable to a layman (R 162 - 166).

Prosecution witness Emper testified that in March or April 1943 he saw HIPP beat a Polish inmate so severely that he had to be removed to the hospital on a stretcher which Emper helped carry. When the victim arrived at the hospital, the male nurse said, "Nothing to be done with him anymore. He is dead." Both FROHNAPFEL and HIPP chose inmates to be shipped to other places. They were present when immates were chosen for a prisoner transport which was sent to Mauthausen during March or April 1942. The guards who accompanied this transport later said that the immates in the transport were taken to Mauthausen to be gassed. The transport included healthy inmates as well as sick ones (R 170 - 173).

EROENAPFEL, in his statement, admitted participation in two or three executions in 1942, at which time Bussian prisoners of war were executed; that at the first one he shot two inmates; that at the second one he shot one inmate; and that the third one he shot one inmate (P-Ex 11-4).

Neither TROHNAPPEL nor HIPP took the stund in their own defense or introduced any witnesses on their behalf. Accused HIPP made no statement, written or otherwise.

- 4. JURISDICTION: The Court was legally constituted and had jurisdiction of the persons of the accused and of the offenses.
- 5. COMPENES: It does not affirmatively appear in the record that witness Bohr was sworn. However, his testimony is not very material and is not indispensable to the prosecution's case. Examination of the entire record fails to disclose any error or emission which resulted in injustice to the accused. The evidence is sufficient to support the findings and sentences of the Court.

The Court was required to take cognizance of the decision rendered in the parent Duchau Concentration Comp case, including the findings of the Court therein, that the mass atrocity operation was criminal in nature and that the participants therein, acting in pursuance of a common design, subjected persons to billings, beatings, have participated knew of the criminal nature thereof (Letter, Head-quarters, United States Forces, European Theater, file AG 000.5 JAG-AGO, subject: "Trial of War Crimes Cases," 14 October 1946 and Parent Case). All of the accused were shown to have participated in the mass atrocity and the Court was warranted by the evidence adduced, either in the parent Duchau case or in this subsequent proceedings, in concluding as to them that they not only participated to a substantial degree but the nature and extent of their participation was such as to warrant the subsequest imposed.

behalf of accused Ernst angenes; one inclosing 9 testimentals on behalf of accused Ernst angenes; one inclosing 3 testimentals on behalf of accused Eritz FROHNAPFEL; and one inclosing 11 testimentals on behalf of accused alois HIPP, were filed on 12 December 1946, by Robert E. L. Welch, defense counsel. Consideration has been given to three Potitions for Clemency on behalf of accused Fritz FROHNAPFEL, dated 12 December 1946, 6 Vermany 1947, and 1 worll 1947, all by Dr. Ernst Döhling, German autorney acting on behalf of the accused's mother, Elizabeth Frohnapfel. Consideration has been given to Petitions for Clemency on behalf of accused alois HIPP, 24 worll 1947 and 28 June 1947, both by Dr. Frey II, German attorney acting on behalf of Mrs. Elifiade Hipp, wife of the accused. No clemency is recommended,

7. COMCLUSIONS:

- a. It is recommended that the findings and sentences be approved.
- b. Legal Forms Nos. 13 and 16 to accomplish this result are attacked hereto, should it meet with approval.

/s/ Thomas L. Nair THOMAS L. NAIR Attorney Post Trial Eranch Having examined the record of trial, I concur, this 29th day of September 1947.